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#### Abstract

This volume surveys major sources of published data on the academic performance of California public secondary school students and compares current performance ievels to those attained in the State in the recent past, as well as to national trends. Chapter I is an introduction. Chapter II, "General Measures of Student Preparation," includes discussion of high school graduation rates, student performance in high schools, postsecendary enrollment, student preparation for college, and youth preparation for employment. In Chapter III, college- and work-related verbal performance is assessed, with data on reading and writing skills presented separately. Chapter IV, similarly, provides data on college- and work-related performance in the areas of mathematics and the sciences. In Chapter $V$, information is presented about the mastery of other "intellectual and life skills," including social studies/civic knowledge; art, music, and aesthetic appreciation; critical thinking; and health, recreation, and consumer skills. Finally, Chapter VI considers achievement data for different subgroups (males versus females, and students of different racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic backgrounds). Appended are (A) a description of data and information sources, and (B) a comparison of California and national Scholastic Aptitude Test data. Also attached are a glossary of acronyms and an eight-page bibliography on student achievement and performance. (GC)
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This report on the preparation of California high school students for college and work has been prepared for the California Business Roundtable. The Roundtable sought a comprehensive and accurate statement of what available data show about student performance. To assure the obtectivity of the information, the Roundtable held a competition among $P$ four major educational research firms, and awarded a six-month contract In March 1982 to Berman, Weiler Associates. The Roundtable maintained an "ams-length relationship" to the research process itself, and carefuliy avolded giving any indication of its own views. In accordance with that charter, this report lets the facts speak for theinselves; it keeps interpretation of the data to a minimum, and does not speculate on the possible causes of the student performance picture that clearly emerges from the mass of data presented.

The contract stipulated that only available data be used. Since no original analysis was conducted, the report does not explore some important issues in tif detail they would otherwise merit. For example, the report does not include an analysis of the effects of student performance on exployment opportunities; nor does it provide a full analysis of how changes in the class and racial composition of high school students in California might have affected the state's test scores in the past decade. Though such analyses would be useful, the report's findings are valid for the study's nain purpose, which is to determine whether steps should be taken to improve secondary education in Calitornia.

Despite the vast amount of available data, it is hard to develop an accurate picture of mevdent performance in California. The data are often incomplete, and data from different sources gometimes disagree. Nonetheless, confident conciusions can be reached in sme areas. The following conclusions are clear inferences from the available data:

1. In Califarnia and in the nation as a whole, the average level of verbal and mathematics skilis attaired by graduating high school seniors is significantly lower today than during the late sixties and early seventies.
2. The average lovel of attainment of "rudimentary" skills has remained relatively stable during the past decade and a half, whereas the level of mantery of more complex skilis has deciined considerably. Fewer students today reach high levels of attainment of complex skills, in California and across the nation.
3. The average high school senior in California performs below the nationai average in reading, writing, and mathematics, though

- the relative position of California students has improyed glightly in recent yearg. American students atsain lower achievement levels in mathematics and science than comparable students in other developed nations.

4. The average California cullege-bound student is somewhat better prepared for college than similar atudents nationally; however, California students are significantly less well prepared than their counterparts were some years ago, especially in complex skill areas.
5. Many young people in California and in the nation are not well-grepared for the world of work, a problem which is likely to intensify as employment opportunities become increasingly technical.

In assessing the meaning of these results, demographic and social changes in California over the past few decades should be weighed. Many more students are enrolled today in California high schools than were enrolled 30 years ago, and the current senior high school population is
considerably more racia*ly diverse then it was 10 years ago. These changes axplain some of the student performance decline in California. But experts agree that the deciine is more than a statistical anomaly. The downward trend in complex skills, in particular, affects all students and cannot be attributed to demographic changes.

## SUEMARX

To reach a sound assessment of how well prepared California students are for college and work, several dimensions of student performance should be considered, and current performance should be compared to carliar time periods. Moreover, to place the performanse of Californda students in a broader perspective, trends in California should be compared to national trends. The body of this report uses available data to present these comparisons, but the data are voluminous. To help the reader grasp the key conclusions, this section sumarizes findings from each chapter, without elaboration.

## CHAPTER, I: INTRODUCTION

The information presented in this report has been drawn from many diverse data sources. Since original analysis was beyond the scope of the report, the available data had to be treated with caution and inferences kept to a minimum. Wherever possible, multiple sources were used to establish the findings prasentad here.

CHAPTER II: GENERAL MEASLRES OF STUDENT PREPARATION

## High School Graduation

Secondary schools in the United States enroll a much larger proportion of the youth population than do schools in other countries, and a much larger percentage of students remain in school into their late teens. The proportion of the Califorila end national youth population that entered and completed secondary education grew steadily in the 20 th
century, but has recently leveled off and began to deciine gradually. The graduation rate for California youth (1.e., the proportion of 18fear-olds who receive high school diplomas) is estimated to be 65 parcent, which is below the national estimate of 72 percent.

## Migh School Pexf

The average coursework grades of secondary students in California and across the nation increased slightly over the past decade, while their scores on standardized tests dropped. This grade inflation seams to have peaked, and may be declining. The average grades reported by the California and national high school senior populations are approximately equal, though college-bound Californians average more $A$ 's and $B$ 's than college-bound students nationally.

## Postsecondary Enrollment

A much grater proportion of the youth population enters postsecondary institutions (i.e., four year colleges, universities, two year colleges, and vocational schools) in the United States than in any other country. The proportion of California youth enroling in postsecundary institutions' is slightly highor than the national average, but abcut 70 percent of the California students attending school after high school go to two year institutions.

## Student Preparation for College

In California and across the country, more i..gh school seniors have difficulty doing college-level work today than was the case ten years ago. Postsecondary institutions have added more basic skills classes, enrollment in remedial courses has increased, and more students utilize
tutoring and other acadomic sarvices. Many antering freshmen in California appear to have serious deficiencies in bssic writing and quantitative skills.

## Youth Preparation Eor Pmployment

The higher the level of schnoling completed, the more likely a person is able to find and keep a fob. Generally, the unemploymant rate of young people is higher than that of the gensal population, and minority youth have twice the unemployment rate of majoraty youth. Fecent reports of employer dissatisfaction with new omployees appear to reflect a combination of inadequate preparation of young people in basic skilis. poor work attitudes among youth, and same mismateh betwean the training of young people and the technical, needs of business and industry. Though there is some anecdotal evidence that the skilis of young workers have declined, there are no available quantitative data to confirm this widely held belief.

CHAPTER III: COLLEGE AND WORX-RELATED VERBAL PERFORMANCE

Reading
Comparisons among top students in reading and.literature place American students ahead of students in other developed nations. Within the United States, there was a significant deciine during the seventies in average student performance on measures testing advancea reading skills, with a lesser deciine in fundamental skilig. Califosnia students perform below the national average in reading. Average reading scores for California students deciined markediy during the early seventies, but have not changed much recentiy.

## Writing

The average level of attainment of basic writing skills has declined alightiy in the United States and California during the past decade, but the average level of attainment of more advanced writing skilis--including explanatory and interpretive writing-is down markediy. Though writing scores have improved recently in California, the state's nigh school seniors still score well below the national average. The scores of college-bound Californians have not changed much xecently in basic writing skills, whereas there has been a largs aeline in their scores on tests of more advanced writing skills.

## Overall Verbal

The ncores in California and across the nation on college aptitude tegts of verbal abilities have dropped markedly since the mid-sixtien. Though college-bound California students historically performed above the national average on these tests, their scores dropped significantiy during the early seventies-beiow the national norms between 1976 and 1978. The scores of California students have recently improved, and the most recent available scores (1982) place California one point below the national average.

CHAPTER IV: COLLEGE AND WORK-RELATED QUANTITASIVE PERFORMANCE

## Mathematics

The mathematics performance of American high school seniors is poor by international standards. Within the United States, the average level of mithematics skilis declined during the seventies, particularly in advanced computation and problem solving, and che scures of college-bound
students dropped sharply. Mathematics scores in California declined through 1977, but have turned generally upward since that time. The * scores of college-bound students from California are slightly above the national average in mathemntxcs, whereas the scores of the general high school senior population in California are at or silghtly below the national average. Student performance in California in basic mathematics gkills has probably remained about the same over the last five years, while coupetence in somewhat more advanced areas has declined and may account for overall test score decreases.

Science
In international testing, top American high schocl sendors perfonned below top students from other countries in science achievement. within the United States, the average level of attainment of science skills may be decreasing, particularly in the physical scit̀nces.
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## CHAPTER V: MASTERY OF OTHER INTELLECTUAL AND LIFE SKILLS

The gvailable data point to a considerable decilne ith critical thinking abilities among high school graduates over the past decade; and the average high school geni or seems to know little about health, energy, and constuner matters.

CHAPTER VI: SNME SUBGROUP DATA

Changes in Student Population
A significant part of the decine in test scores during the seventies may be due to changes in the composition le.g., ethnic, iinguistic,
family size, economic) of the twelfth grade class In California schools. Though it is certain that demographic changes have contributed to declining test scores, it is also evident that such changes cannot account for the magnitude of the decilne nor for the extent of the decline across higher levels of student achievement.

## Minority and Low Income Students

On :- zverage, minority and low income studants score considerabiy below the average in most subject areas, but their scores have recently Lmprovad slightiy. 0

## Low vi. High Achi evers

Pest scores improved during the seventies at the low end of the achievement scale, but they declined sharply, especially in California, at the high end of the achievement scale.

## Male, Female Differrences

Test scores have generally decilned more for women than for men. In Varbal skills, where women traditionally score higher than men, the decline of scores among college-bound women has been great. In mathematics, where women traditionally score lower than men, the gap between college-bound woraen and men is widening.

APPENDIX A: A DYCNTIPTION OF DATA AND INFORMATION SOURCES

The data are not fully availabie in California to describe student perfomance as completely and accurately as would be desirable. The variety of avallable data surces, and their limitations, are discussed.

appendix b: a comparison of california and national sat/atp data

The number of test takers on the Scholastic Aptitude Tests and on the Admissions Testing Program has varied considerably during the past decade. This variation, along"with coincident changes in the composition of the test-taking population, helps to explain some of the decline in test scores in California.
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Friends as well as critics of public education have axpressed deep concern about poor gtudent performanee in Callfornia public high schools. Achtevement on standardized tests is ald to have decreased steadily since the mid-sixties, scores on collage aptitude tests are thought to have dropped dramatically, and high achool graduates ape widely believed to be 111-prepared for college or work. Is such pessimism warranted? What do the data how?

To answer these questions, this report aurveys major sources of published sata on atudent pertormance in Californta, and compares the current studant performance levels in California public higin schools to level attained in the recent past. California trends are also compared to national trends.

The report complies considerable data from many sources. It cannot, however, provide a definitive picture of student performance during the seventies and early oighties, for several reasons. First, "outcome" data are not available to measure many legitimate purposes of education. Most. would agree, for example, that shools are responsi.hle for ensuring the physical and psy̌chological well-being of their students and for fontering craativity. But experts in the field do not agree on appropriate ways ":o assess how auccesgivl secondary institutions are in meaciny such goals. Second, the testing instrumente that $\mu$ erve as the basis for most avallable perfomance data were designed to differentiate among students in terms of their relative achievement, not to assess individual learning or cognitive growth (fayns, 1978). Yet many kinde of iffe events in
addition to schooling affect melative achiavement (Snow, 1981). Consequantiy, inferences about the meaning of changes in test scores must be drawn fith due calition. Third, most data sources on meudent pertomarice are crosp-sectional rathez than longltudimal-i.e.e they are not collected so that the same otudent can be compared trom year to year. Because of this problem, decilning scores may. for example. mellect changes in the composicion of the tast population from year to year ingtead of changes in the quality of achouling. Finaliy, pexformane data are generally not thoroughly reported. Miny data sources, for axample, restrict thair reportes to changes in avarage values, but do not provide information about variations around the averages, or provida statistics for luportant subgroups of the population. Daspite these problams, available data do provide valid indicatens of large-scale trends in student performance. The inferences drawn from these data are most valid when aevaral independent data source s conipistentiy provide evidance of major changes in average atudent perfomance or point to large gaps between the performance of california students and those elsewhere.

The Eirst problem in assegsing student performance is to decide which of the many goals of sducation should be evaluated. rhis is no easy task. $3 *$

The goals of secondar: education ara discussed in a multitude of publications, some racent and some dating back well int the 19 ch cantury. Most discussions are cast broadiy and philosophically. For example, some writers belleve secondary schools should envich human 1ife," serve as "the prime instrument of individual self-raalization" (Husen, 1979), or transmit a "concern for haritage,. . For the dignity of man" (Conant, 1945). For otherf, education is viewed as a vehicie for
maximum posaible utilization of human beings in productive activity" and Lor "fullest possible development of the skills, knowledge and capacities of the labor, force" (Harbison, 1974).

The goals of education are not only ilverse, they are constantiy changing. As fusicans have faced now problems, they have charged theit schools with new tasks: "sccialize immigrants," "keep young people out of the labor market," "foster patriotism," and "free mothers Erom the chores of child-rearing" (Goodlad, 1979). And the list of tasks has steadily grown, panticularly in the last two decades. Schonls have been asked to alleviate racial and athic segragation, to acculturate thousands of indiviluals who have ilmited proficiency in English, to address the needs of gpecial categories of young people such as the handicapped, and to attend to the legitimate and difficult needs of those at the bottom of the achievement scale" (University of California, 1981).

Though it would be useful to assess student periormance for each of these many goals, the data simply are not available to examine more than the most basic goals invol"ing the student's acquisition of information, knowledge and skills--the sonalled cognitive purpcse of schooling. This report particularly focuses on three aspects of the cognitive purpose of secondary schooling:

1. The preparation of young people for college;
2. The preparation of young people for works and
3. The preparation of young people for adult xesponsibility.

Data are available to examine the Eirst of these purposes of high schofls. Assessments of how well-prepared students are for college have generally relied on grades in high school level academic coirses and
scores on scholastic aptitude tegtg. Though the relative importance of these factors has varied over the years and Erom institution to institution, these two indices rumain the key measures.

The criteria for judging how well propared students are for work have ahifted over time. At times, emphasis has been placed on possession of actual work skills; at other times, youth's socialization toward work has been strassad. At atill other times-inciuding today-the emphasis is on preparaicion for work via a solld academic background in verbal and computational skills. Most employers are currently said to prefer hiring e
easily trainable youth-i.e., youth who can read, write and compute accurately and efficiently, but who are not necessarily skilled in a particular line of work.

The literature pays ecant attention to the last cognitive goal--the broad matter of how wall prepared young people are for the responsibilities of aduithood. of all the kesting programs, only one-the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)--has set forth objectives for education that include skilis outside of academic and work areas. Other testing programs raraly include ftems more specific than general statements about civic responsibilities or moral education. ${ }^{1}$
$1_{\text {Because }}$ high school seniors are a heavily-studied population, there are numemus sources of information on their activities and attitudes. However, these data have 11mited value to the present study because they focus on activities other than educational outcomes (e.g., drug and alcohol use) or they omphasize input (e.g., courses taken, quality of teachers) rather than output measures. In general, information on the students who enter four-year colleges is collacted frequently; it is readily available and relatively comprehensive. Information on those who enroll in commaity colleges or seek employment is much less common.

Due to these limitations in the availability of work-specific and "adult responsibility" data, this report assumes:

1. The verbal and quantitative skilis taught in California ingh schools and tested by the various achievement axaminations axe applicable not only to college preparation but alpo Fo proparation for work.
2. NAEP and The College Board assessments of academic and non-academic skilis outsida of ceading, writing, science, and math, provide a reasonable-if 11 inited-substitute for airect maaspres of the parformance of adult responsibilities.
Appendix/A contains descriptive information about the primary sources of data usea in this report, including data-gathering technicues, sample sizes and fossible aress of weakness. Appendix B provides an additional. analysis of scone information from the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) and Collego soard achievement tants.

The contents of the report are divided primarily into chapters that describe student perfomance for the different types of cognitive outcomes described above. Student performance can be evaluated in a number of individual content areas and on a number of levels. Chapter II examines. school outcomes on a macro-level, looking at graduation, postsecondary entry, and employment rates. Within each of these genazal outciome areas, the report presents information on California students and, for comparison purposes, on students elsawhere in the country and the world.

Once this general picture is presented, the report provides infomation in several content areas. Chapters III and IV respectively treat verbal and quantitative performance related to college and work. Chapter $V$ discusses gtudents' mantery of other inteiliectual and $11 f e$ skills. The last chapter, Chapter VI, examines student performance data for several types of students.

## GENERAL MEASURES OF SIUDENT PREPARATION

Hiow well prepared are Callfornia students for college or mork, and how has their preparation changed over the past several decades? No alngle statistic will provide a simple answer to these questions; several different statigtics must be uged to describe this complax problem. This chapter uses a mumber of general statistics to measure student praparation, including the rate of graduation from high school. grades receivad in chool, enrollment in pogtsecondary institutions, and early perfomance in postsecondary institutions.

## 1. High School Graduation Rates

A school's graduation rate is the proportion of students who enter the school and go on to obtain a diploma. At the state level, the graduation rate is the proportion of als students who receive a diploma In high sahools throughout the state, compared to all students who enroll in high schools.

Though the graduation rate is a useful statistic, it is almost 0 impossible to measure accurately for a state like California. Individual schools do not keep complete, accurate, or even comparsble data on the How of students through the school because of the high cost of recordkeeping, particulariy in locations where families move Ereguently or where imingration $1 s$ heavy. Instead of using the exact graduation rate, analysts must therefore piece together several aiternative measures
that closely approximate the graduation rate. The measures included in the following discussion are:
2. The percentage of youths of a relevant age group who are enrolled in school at a given time;
2. The percentage of youths of a relevant age group who are high school graduates in a given year;
3. Self- or family-reported aurvey data on the educational attainment of the 18 -year-old populations and
4. School attrition data (i.e., the number of high school freshmen one year contrasted with the number of high school sentors three years later).
a. The National Seteing

By international standards, American youths remain enrolled in high schiol at a very high rate. School enrollment of 16 -year-olds in the United States surpasses that of 16-year-olds in any other country, and this gap widens with each subsequent year (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, 1977).

Table II-1 presents estimates of the percentages of the relevant age group who are enrolled tull-time in the final year of secondary schooling (measure 1 above) from countrias that participated in the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achiovement (IEA) examinations. These data show that half again as many students are en rolled in the final year of high school in the United States as in Belgium and Sweden, the two countries with the highest graduation rates in Europe. Proportionately, almost four times as many young people encoll in the final year of high school in the United States as do so in England.
percientage of the relevant agb group enrolled in full time schocling in the final year of secondary school

IEA Participants, 1973-74

$1_{\text {These }}$ numbers are estimates submitted by countries participating in the IGN examinations. SOURCE: Abstracted from Woif, Richard. Achievement in America. New York: Teachers College Press, 1977.
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Although still high by international standards, graduation rates within the United States appear to have declined slightly during the past decade. Table II-2 shows that the sharpest increases in the percentage of the 17-year-old population with a high school diplama (mensure 2 above) occurred between 1930 and 1940 and several times during the years following World War II. The rate peaked in 1967-1968 at 76.7 graduates per 100 17-year-olds, but dropped to 74 per 100 by 1978-1979. Newly available data from the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) indicate that 72.1 percent of the 18-year-ol American population received a high school diploma in 1979-80 (Snyder, 1982). ${ }^{1}$
b. California

The new NCES data indicate that the high school graduation rate for 18-year-old Californians (using measure 2 above) is lower than the national ayerage. According to NCES, approximately 65 percent of 18-rear-old Californiane receive a diploma; the comparable figure for the entire United Statess is about 72 percent (Snyder, 1982). It is possible that the NCES estimate does not include certain high school equivalency certificates in California and that the large recent immigrant population In California is responsible for pait of the difference between the state and the nation. However, neither factor can explain more than one or two percentage points of the difference between the California and national dropout rates. Table II-3 provides comparative data.

1rhese figures were calculated using 1980 census data. It is not entirely clear whether the apparent decine in the graduation rate between 1978 and 1980 is a reflection of an improved data base or of an increase in the dropout rate.

NGMBER OF GIGH sCHOOL GRPDUATES COMPARED WITH POPULATION 17. YEARS OF AGE

> Onited States, 1869-70 to 1978-79

| sceoor YEAR | porula- <br> TION 17 ysars OLD | RIGE SCROOI GRADUATES ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | NO. GRADUATED PER 100 PERSONS 17 YRS. OLD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Boys | Girls |  |
| 1869-70 | 815,000 | 1.5,000 | 7,064 | 8,936 | 2.0 |
| 1879-80 | 946,026 | : 3 ,634 | 10,605 | 13,029 | 2.5 |
| 1889-90 | 1,259,177 | 43,731 | 18,549 | 25,182 | 3.5 |
| 1899-1900 | 1,489,146 | 94,883 | 38,075 | 56,808 | 6.4 |
| 1909-10 | 1,786,240 | 156,429 | 63,676 | 92,753 | 8.3 |
| 1919-20 | 1,855,173 | 311,266 | 123,684 | 187,582 | 16.8 |
| 1929-30 | 2,295,822 | 666,904 | 300,376 | 366,528 | 29.0 |
| 1939-40 | 2,403,074 | 1,221,475 | 578,718 | 642,75? | 50.8 |
| 1941-42 | 2,425,574 | 1,242,375 | 576,717 | 665,658 | 56.2 |
| 1943-4 4 | 2,410,389 | 1,019,233 | 423,971 | 595,262 | 42.3 |
| 1945-46 | 2,254,738 | 1,080,033 | 466,926 | 613,107 | 47.5 |
| 1947-48 | 2,202,927 | 1,189,909 | 562,863 | 627,046 | 54.0 |
| 1949-50 | 2,034,450 | 1,199,700 | 570,700 | 629,000 | 59.0 |
| 1951-52 | 2,040,800 | 1,196,500 | 569,200 | 627,300 | 58.6 |
| 1953-54 | 2,128,600 | 1,276,100 | 612,500 | 663,600 | 60.0 |
| 1955-56 | 2,270,000 | 1,414,800 | 679,500 | 735,300 | 62.3 |
| 1957-58 | 2,324,000 | 1,505,900 | 725,500 | 780,400 | 64.8 |
| 1959-60 | 2,862,005 | 1,864,000 | 898,000 | 966,000 | 65.1 |
| 1961-62 | 2,768,000 | 1,925,000 | 941,000 | 984,000 | 69.5 |
| 1963-64 | 3,001,000 | 2,290,00\% | 1,121,000 | 1,169,000 | 76.3 |
| 1965-66 | 3,515,000 | 2,632,000 | 1,308,000 | 1,324,000 | 74.9 |
| 1967-68 | 3,521,000 | 2,702,000 | 1,341,000 | 1,361,000 | 76.7 |
| 1969-70 | 3,825,343 | 2,896,000 | 1,433,000 | 1,463,000 | 75.7 |
| 1971-72 | 3,957,000 | 3,008,000 | 1,490,000 | 1,518,000 | 76.0 |
| 1973-74 | 4,096,000 | 3,080,000 | 1,515,000 | 1,565,000 | 75.2 |
| 1975-76 | 4,215,000 | 3,155,000 | 1,554,000 | 1,601,000 | 74.9 |
| 1977-78 | 4,208,000 | 3,134,000 | 1,535,000 | 1,599,000 | 74.5 |
| 1978-79 ${ }^{3}$ | 4,238,000 | 3,134,200 | 1,531,800 | 1,602,400 | 74.0 |

${ }^{1}$ data from Bureau of the Census.
2ncludes graduates of pubilic and nompublic schools.
$3_{\text {preliminary data. }}$
SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. Digest of Educational Statigticg. Mashington, DC: U.S. Government Printing office, i981.

## Californda vge National. 1980

## California



Percentage of 18-Year-Olds Receiving Diploma: 65.3

National
Percentage of 18-Year-Olds Receiving Diploma:
72.1

SOURCE: Snyder, W1111am. Unpublished state and national graduation statistics, personal ccmunication. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics, November 1982.

There are several other methods of approximating the graduation rate for California, aach of which produces a slightly different picture. A comparison of 1978 school enrollment figures with pre- 1980 census population estimates (measure 1 above) suggests that some 76.1 percent of the 17 year-old Californians ware enrolled in school (Camp, 1980). Because this Eigura is based on district fall enrollment reports, it does not take into account absentee rates. A 1976 government survey, on the other hand, concluasen that only 69.3 percent of the 17 -year-olds in California were enrolled in school (Camp, 1980). Because both of these studies concern themselves with enroliment rather than with the acquisition of a diploma, it is likely that both estimates exceed the actual graduation rate.

Some data sources suggest that the graduation rate is decilning in California, and at a rate greater than the apparent national declines. One of those sources is attrition deta for the public schools, calculated by comparing the total number of students entering cne grade with the number entering the next grade the following year (measure above). Table II-4 provides attrition data for California public schools between 1970 and 1979. Over this period, attrition between the beginning of grade 9 and the beginning of grade 12 nearly doubled, from 12 percent for the class entering grade 9 in 1967 to 22 percent for the class entering grade 9 in 1976. This method does not, of course, account for further attrition during the twelfth grade, nor does it identify changes due to in- or out-migration.

Though comparable data are not available for public schools nationally, Table II-5 provides relevant data on combined public and private school enrollments. These data show that the percentage decreage

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC SCBOOL EAROLLMENT ATNETTION BETHEEAN THE NINTH AND TWELETG GRADES

1970 through 1979

| ENTERTNG 9PE GRADE |  | HNTERTNG L2TH GRADE ${ }^{1}$ |  | - DECREASE EETKEEN 9RH AND $12 T \mathrm{H}$ GRADES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Number mincolled | Year | Number Enrolied |  |
| 1967 | 316,761 | 1970 | 278,452 | 12 |
| 1968 | 326,803 | 1971 | 279,046 | . 15 |
| 1969 | 337,640 | 2972 | 288,319 | 15 |
| 1970 | 339,470 | 1973 | 283,157 | 17 |
| 1971 | 349,900 | 1974 | 286,095 | 18 |
| 1972 | 359,227 | 1975 | 289,293 | 20 |
| 1973 | 356,537 | 1976 | 288,319 | 1.9 |
| 1974 | 357,817 | 1977 | 285,868 | 20 |
| 1975 | 364,701 | 1978 | 288,117 | 21 |
| 1976 | 368,831 | 1979 | 286,679 | 22 |

I Because enroliment data are based on counts made at the beginalng of each school year (octobex), they lall to include students who drop out during thair twelfth year (i.e., betwean tho period Octobar through May) and therefore underestimate the actual number of dropouts.

SOUREE: Camp, Catherine. School Drop-outs in California. Sacramento: 1980. (Data provided by the Callfornia State Department of Education, Personal and Career Development Services Unit.)
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between ninth and twilfth grades in California was lower than the national percentage decrease until 1972, and has been approximately equal to the national percentage decrease aince then.

In sum, high school graduation rates in the United States are high by international standards, but have declined slightly over the past decade. The graduation rate in California is currently lower than the national average.

## 2. Student Performance in High School

Uaing grades as the only oriterion, high school students in the late seventies outperformed their predecessors of the early seventies. In 1980, some 33 percent of high school seniors responding to the National Center for EAucation Statistics' High School \& Beyond Survey (AS \& B) reported receivi.ng "mortly A's" or "half A's and half B's"; the comparable figury for the 1972 graduating class is 29 percent. Thers was no chs.qe catween 1972 and 1980 in the number of students reporting recelving "mostly $D$ or below" (1.2 percent), but the percentages reporting "B and $C$ " or "C and $D$ " grades declined by 2 points per category (National Center for Education Statistics, 1981).

As shown in Table II-6, California students who take the SAY receive higher grades than their counterparts nationaily. ${ }^{l}$ As was true with

[^1]ESTIMATED RETENTION PATES, FIFTH GRADE THROUGH COLEGE ENTRANCE, IN PUELIC AND NOPUEIIC SCHOOLS'
United States, 1924-32 to 1971-79

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SIWO YEAR } \\ & \text { PLPILS ENTERED } \\ & \text { FIFTH GRADE } \end{aligned}$ | FETENTION PER I,000 PIPILS WHO ENTERED FIFTH GRADE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HIGH SEHOOL GRRDUATION |  | FIRST-TIME COLLEGE STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $5+h$ <br> Grade | $6 t h$ <br> Grade | $\begin{aligned} & 7+n \\ & \text { orade } \end{aligned}$ | 8th Grede | 9th Grade | 10th Grade | IItn Grade | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { th } \\ & \text { Grade } \end{aligned}$ | Mumber | Yoar of Graduation |  |
| 1924-25 | 1,000 | 911 | 798 | 741 | 612 | 470 | 384 | 344 | 302 | 1932 | 118 |
| 1926-27 | 1,000 | 919 | 824 | 734 | 677 | 552 | 453 | 400 | 353 | 1934 | 129 |
| 1928-29 | 1,000 | 939 | 847 | 805 | 736 | 624 | 498 | 432 | 378 | 1936 | 137 |
| 1930-31 | 1,000 | 943 | 872 | 824 | 770 | 652 | 529 | 463 | 411 | 1938 | 148 |
| 1932-33 | 1,000 | 935 | 889 | 831 | 786 | 664 | 570 | 510 | 455 | 1940 | 160 |
| 1934-35 | 1,000 | 953 | 892 | 842 | 803 | 711 | 610 | 512 | 467 | 1942 | 129 |
| 1936-37 | 1,000 | 954 | 895 | 849 | 839 | 704 | 354 | 425 | 393 | 1944 | 121 |
| 1938-39 | 1,000 | 955 | 908 | 853 | 796 | 655 | 532 | 444 | 419 | 1946 | - |
| 1940-41 | 1,000 | 968 | 910 | 836 | 781 | 697 | 566 | 507 | 481 | 1948 | -- |
| 1942-43 | 1,000 | 954 | 909 | 847 | 807 | 713 | 604 | 539 | 305 | 1950 | 205 |
| 1944-45 | 1,000 | 952 | 929 | 858 | 848 | 748 | 650 | 549 | 522 | 1952 | 234 |
| $1946 \times 47$ | 1,000 | 954 | 945 | 919 | 872 | 775 | 641 | 583 | 353 | 1954 | 283 |
| 1948-49 | 1,000 | 984 | 956 | 929 | 863 | 795 | 706 | 619 | 581 | 1956 | 301 |
| 1950-51 | 1,000 | 981 | 968 | 921 | 886 | 809 | 709 | 632 | 582 | 1938 | 308 |
| 1952-53 | 1,000 | 974 | 965 | 936 | 904 | 835 | 746 | 667 | 621 | 1960 | 328 |
| 1954-55 | 1,000 | 980 | 979 | 948 | 915 | 855 | 759 | 684 | 642 | 1962 | 343 |
| 1956-57 | 1,000 | 985 | 984 | 948 | 930 | 871 | 790 | 728 | 676 | 1964 | 362 |
| Fall $1958{ }^{2}$ | 1,000 | 983 | 979 | 961 | 946 | 908 | 842 | 761 | 732 | 1966 | 384 |
| Fall 1960 | 1,000 | 980 | 973 | 967 | 952 | 913 | 858 | 787 | 749 | 1968 | 452 |
| Fall 1962. | 1,000 | 987 | 977 | 967 | 959 | 928 | 860 | 790 | 750 | 1970 | 461 |
| Fall 1964 | 1,000 | 988 | 985 | 976 | 975 | 942 | 865 | 791 | 748 | 1972 | 433 |
| Fall 1966 | 1,000 | 989 | 986 | 985 | 985 | 959 | 871 | 783 | 744 | 1974 | 448 |
| Fall 1968 | 1,000 | 992 | 992 | 991 | 983 | 958 | 869 | 785 | 749 | 1976 | 435 |
| Fall $1970{ }^{3}$ | 1,000 | 990 | 990 | 988 | 982 | 965 | 881 | 797 | 744 | 1978 | 440 |
| Fall 1971 | 1,000 | 991 | 989 | 989 | 985 | 976 | 874 | 794 | 743 | 1979 | 451 |

IRates for the flith grade through high school graduation are basad on enrollments in successive grades in successive yuars in public alemontary and sacondary schools and are adjusted to include ostimates for nonpublic schools. Rotes for first-time college onrollment include full-time and part-time students enrolled in programs croditable toward a bacholor's degree.

2Beginning with the class in the fitth grade in 1958, data aro based on iall enrollment and excludes upgraied puplis. The net of foct of these changes is to increase high school graduation and college entrance rates silghtiy.
${ }^{3}$ some figures have been revised silghtly since originally published.
SOURCEs: National Centor for Education Statistics. Digost of Educatinfal Statistics. Washington, DC: U.S. Governwent Printing Office, 1981.

TAEKE II-6
DISHRIBURION OF HIGE SCHOOL GRADE POHNT AVERAGES
Solf-Reports of Sar Test Takers, National and California, 1975-1981

| GRADE POINT AVERAGE | PERCENT OF STUDETITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -1975 |  | 9-1977 |  | -1979 |  | -1981 |  |
|  | Nat. | Cal. | Nat. | Cal. | Nat. | Cal. | Nat. | Cal. |
| $3.75=4.00$ | 16 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 15.2 | 15.9 |
| 3.50-3.74 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 12.4 | 14.4 | 12.0 | 14.0 |
| 3.25-3.49 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 13.3 | 25.3 | 13.0 | 16.7 |
| $3.00=3.24$ | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17.5 | 18.1 | 17.4 | 18.1 |
| $2.75=2.99$ | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12.2 | 12. 1 | 12.2 | 12.4 |
| 2.50-2.74 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 12.3 | 11.5 |
| 2.25-2.49 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 8.1 | 6.6 |
| 2.00-2.24 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 4.4 |
| $1.75-1.99$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 2.3 |
| 1.50-1.74 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1.5 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  | \% |  |


| Mean Std. Dev. | 3.09 | 3.17 | 3.11 | 3.16 | 3.08 | 3.14 | 3.06 | 3.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . 59 | .56 | .59 | .56 | . 60 | . 56 | . 60 | . 56 |
| SOURCES: | The College soard. Admissions Testing Program Roports. New Yark: 1981; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reports. Now York: 1979, The College Board. Admissions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Testing Program | Repoxt | Nev | Cork: | 1977; | A Col | ( Bo |  |
|  | Admissions resting Program Reports. Now York: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

the general high chool population, the college-bound population in California raceives sewar " $D$ " and " $\mathrm{F}^{\prime \prime}$ grades; they aiso receive more "A" and "B" grades. the higher average grade point average (GPA) among California sar takers is partieularly interesting because f lerger percentage of the, graduating class in Califarnia takes the SAr, a Eituation that would be expected to negatively affeot the GPA (see Appendix B) . Since, 1975, however, the grades earned by college-bound Californians have doclined slightly asch year. The number of m'a" and " $B^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}$ has decreased, while the number of " $D^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}$ and " $E$ " $s$ " has increased. Two other sources of data corroborate these trends. First, based on a raview of some $10,000 \mathrm{hf}$ gh school transoripts, the Csiffornia Postsacondary Education Comisuion's 1975 eligibility study concluded that, whie the proportion of high school seniors eligible (on the basis of grades and test scores) to enter the University of California (UC) or the California State University (CSU) system increased between 1967 and 1975, the increases wers not substantial (those oligible to enter the University of California increased from 12.5 to 14.8 percent of the high schooi graduating class; those eligible to enter the California state University system from 33-1/3 percent to 35 percent). Second, a reviaw of UC schola-inp report data on the mean high school grade point averages of entering freshmen suggests that grade point averages in academic coursework incraased s11ghtly batween 1967 (3.41) and 1975.(3.60), then declined thereafter (to 3.56 in 1978 and 3.53 in 1979). Though Callfornia college-bound high school seniors may report higher grades than the nationsl norm, grade inflation may be less substantial now than previously.

## 3. Postsecondary Enroliment

The preparation of students for college is an important function of sacondary schools. By scme estimates, over three-quartars of the high school graduate population will obtaln some typs of postsecondary aducation within a decade of griaduation (Haycock, 1978). Thus, preparation for college is important not merely to a small group of the p highest achieving studenes, but to most students.

## a. The National Eatting

As wes tris at the secondary school Ievel, the United States led the international commaity in 1970 in higher education enrollments as a parcentage of the relevant age group. Table II-7 provides 1970 postsecondary enrollment data for certain countries which are members of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OA, D). Neariy one-haif of the 18-year-olds in the United states were anrolled in higher education in 1970. In Sweden, some 44.7 percent of the relevant age group were enrolled in higher education, as ware approximately one-third of tho Canadian age group.

Two phenomenc are noteworthy here. Pirst, there is considerable variation among countries in the proportion of the postsecondary population enrolled in "university" versus "other higher education." Second, the meaning of these numbers becomes somewhat.less clear when they are reviewed in comparison with the secondaxy school compietion asta. In several cases, a higher percentage of high school students than those who couplete the final year subsequently enroll in higher

ENTRY TO HIGEER EDUCATION AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE RGLEVANT AGE GROUP By 3ype of Institution: Selected Countries, 1970


SOURCE: Complled using dita in United Nations Educational, Sciantific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) . Statistical Yearbook, Section III-28. Paris: 1031.
education. The differoncges revealed in these data between the United states and Britain, Prance, and Germany is not as 1 arge as one might surmise from the differences in secondary school complation rates. Table 1I-8 provides comparative educational attainment information for OBCD momber countries.

Postencondary education within the Onited States has expanded rather dramaticaliy duxing the past two decades, particularly in priblic Instituifions. In 1960, total postsecondary enrollment was 3,789,000; by 1980 it had exceeded 11 million students (National Center for Education Statistics, 1976 and 1980). The postsecondary enrollment rate of high school graduntes (calculated by comparing the total number of high school graduates during one academic year with the number of first-time freshmen age 19 or under in postsecondary institutions the following academic
year) has remained essentialiy unchanged since 1968 , when it reached the current level of approximately 60 percent (National Center for Education

Statistics, 1976).

## b. California

Enroliment in California postsecondary institutions has also grown during the past two decades, primarily as a result of two factors: The increasing size of the high school graduate population through 1975 and

LThere are some discrepancies between the IRA and OECD data, which may be explained by characteristics of the educational aystams in certain ocantrias. Germany, for axampio, is ald to graduate only 9 percert of the relevant age group from secondary schools; it is then raported to send 15. percent of this group to college--including 10.4 percent to a university. Comparable data Eor 1980 are not available, and the 1970 cata discussed above may no longer be accuirite.

Mr AVERAGE YEARS OP SCHOOLING COMPLETED BY ADULTS, AGES 25-64
Solected Countries, $197{ }^{1}$

| COUNTRY | Total regulax education recaived (excluaing precompulsory education) | Compulsory education received before age 15 | Education received at ages 15-18 inclusive | Education received after age 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada ${ }^{2}$ | 9.7 | 7.4 | 1.9 | . 47 |
| France ${ }^{3}$ | 9.1 | 7.7 | 1.0 | . 35 |
| Gemany (P.R.) | 9.2 | 7.8 | 1.2 | . 29 |
| Italy ${ }^{2,5}$ | 6.4 | 5.4 | . 5 | . 40 |
| Japan | $10.0{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 7.8 | 1.8 | . 35 |
| Netherlands ${ }^{4,5}$ | 8.5 | 7.1 7.0 | 1.1 | .38 .49 |
| Norway ${ }^{5,6}$ <br> Sweden ${ }^{6}$ | 8.8 8.7 | 7.0 6.7 | 1.3 1.6 | . 49 |
| United Kingdom ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 10.2 | 9.0 | 1.0 | . 25 |
| United States | 11.1 | 7.6 | 2.7 | . 76 |

${ }^{1}$ Data are for adults who have left the oducational system.
${ }^{2}$ Data are for 1971.
$3^{3}$ Data are for 1968.
Active population.
${ }^{5}$ Estimates derived by projectioñs from censuses taken in or near 1960 with the help of enroliment data.
${ }^{6}$ Includes age group 25-59.
SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. The Condition of
 office, 1976.
the increasing rate at which high school graduates continue on to college. In 1960, enroliment in public postsecondary educational Institutions included soms 434,148 etudents; by 1980, more then 1,639,647 students were enrolled. As shown in table II-9, much of the growth occured within the two-year commanty college system.

The current fate at which California high school graduates enter college does not appear to differ algnificantly from the national average. According to a recent repart from the California Postsecondary Education Commission, the first-time Erestman enrollment count in the Eall of 1980 represented 61.5 percent of the number of high school graduates during the previous academic year. Table II-10 provides college-going information for Calffornia students between 1974 and 1980.

A second source of data on college-entry rates is the Beyond High School Graduation Survey of 1975 high school graduates, conducted by the University of California in cosjunction with the 1975 Postsecondary Eligibility study. This study indicated chat some 75 percent of the respondents reported pursuing some kind of postsecondary schooling within two and one-half years of high schorl graduation (Baycock, 1978). While this estimate may be high, it is the only source of information on students who enter college after a brief delay, and thus complements more official data.

## 4. Student Preparation for College

## a. The National Setting

Today's average college student appears to have more difficulty with college level work than did his or her predecessor in the sixties. The increased number of remedial courses being offered by colleges and
esralment in cal ifornia puelic postseccnanry education

1969-1980

| YEAR | CNLIFORNIA COMMUNITY coleges |  | CALIFONVIA STATE UNIVERSITY |  | UNIVERSITY of CN IPORINIA |  | TOTAL puelic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student Enrollment | 8 of Totis | Student Enrollment | 3 of Total | Student Enrollment | $\$$ of Total | Student Emrollment | 8 of Total |
| 1960 | 289,898 | 56.8 | 95,081 | 21.9 | 49,169 | 11.3 | 434,148 | 100.0 |
| 1965 | 459,445 | 66.2 | 154,927 | 22.3 | 79,437 | 11.5 | 693,809 | 100.0 |
| 1970 | 652,133 | 63.0 | 241,559 | 24.1 | 109,053 | 10.9 | 1,002,725 | 100.0 |
| 1975 | 1,101,548 | 71.5 | 310,891 | 20.2 | 128,486 | 8.3 | 1,540,925 | 100.0 |
| 1980 | 1,189,976 | 72.6 | 313.850 | 19.1 | 135,821 | 8.3 | 1,639,647 | 100.0 |

SOURCE: Callfornia Postsecondery Education Comission. Tel ephone communication of unpublishod data. Sacramento: State of Callfornia, November 1982.

TAEKE II-10
STATEWIDE COLHEGE-GOING RATES FOR RBCENT HIGR SCHOOL GRADUATES
Public and Private Schools, 1974-1980

| ymar | NURBER OREIGR SCHOORGRADUASES | PERCANTAGE ENROLSING AS FRESHMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DC | CSO | $\begin{gathered} \text { Community } \\ \text { College } \end{gathered}$ | Toeal <br> Public | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inde } \\ \text { pendent } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TONAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1974 | 289,714 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 41.3 | 54.0 | - | - |
| 1975 | 293,941 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 43.1 | 55.9 | - | - |
| 1976 | 289,454 | 5.1 | 7.8 | 41.7 | 54.6 | - | - |
| 1977 | 285,360 | 5.2 | 8.0 | 43.3 | 56.5 | 3.6 | 60.1 |
| 1978 | 283,841 | 5.5 | 8.4 | 41.4 | 55.3 | 3.4 | 58.7 |
| 1979 | 278,548 | 5.8 | 8.7 | 42.1 | 56.6 | 3.4 | 60.0 |
| 1980 | 270,971 | 6.0 | 9.0 | 43.0 | 58.0 | 3.5 | 61.5 |

Notes: Numbers of high school gradustes ware obtained from annual reports prepared by the California state Department of Education for both public and private secondary schools. Data on first-time freshmen were obtained from tapes provided by the public systems and from a special survey conducted by the independent institutes. Percentages were celculated comparing the graduates of day high schools with firgt-time freshman under the age of 20 ; both part- and fulietime students are included. Students who leave Californda for colleges outside the state are not included hare, nor are students in vocational institutions.

SOURCE: California Postsecondary Etucation Comission. California College-Going Rates and Comunity College Trangfers. Sacramento: State of California, 1981.
universities provides avidence of the problem. In 1980-81, the number of remadial courses offered by postsecondary institutions across the country woe by 22 percent- -25 percent in private ingtitutions and 29 percent in public. "Even the more salective private 1iberale, arts colleges. . offersd twice as many ramedial courses in the fall of 1980 as in the fall of 1979" (Txow, 1982, p. 19). A survey conducted by the Conference Boand of the Mathematical Sciences indicates that remedial mathomatics course anrollments at the postsecondary level incraased by 72 parcent between 1975 and 1980, compared with an increase of only 7 percent in undergraduate enrollmonts. At the commanty college level. some 42 parcent of all mathamatics courses are now remadiai in nature (Alder, 1982). ${ }^{1}$

Data from The College Board's Admissions Testing Program guggests that many prospective collage students may be unaware of thair skill deficiencies. In 1981, for oxample, 40 percent fewer SAT takers expressed an intent to seek assistance with mathomatics than in 1972; over the same time, the number of sAr takers dropped by only 2.8 percent (see Table II-11). In apite of data suggesting major increases in remedial course work in all skills areas, students taking the SAT in 1981 were far less inclined to express a lack of confidence in their academic skills than students in 1972 (The College Board, 1972 and 1981).
$1_{\text {Many }}$ experts believe that the requixed level of mathematics for college work has increaged, and that the definition of remedial has consequently changed during the past decade.

NOMBER OF SAT-TAKERS PLANNING TO ASK COKLEGE FOR SPECIAL ASSISTANCE National, 1972 and 1981

| Arsa | 1972 | 1981 | $\begin{gathered} \text { PERCENTAGE } \\ \text { CHANGE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |
| mathenatical skitis | 261,729 | 156,400 | -40.3 |
| Rsading skuris | 311,963 | 104,266 | -66.6 |
| WRITIANG SXILIS * | 292,115 | 128,911 | -55.9 |
| STUDY SXILTS | 314,566 | 212,324 | -32.6 |

SOURCE: The COIlege Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1981; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1972.

## b. California

Available evidence suggests that increasing numbers of college-bound students in California, like their national counterparts, ara experiencire difficulty with college-level work. For example, the Oniversity of California, which draws most of its students from the upper 12.5 percent of high school graduates, raports that 48.7 percent of its entexing freshmen in 1975 were requirad to take "Subject A," a course designed to bring students' writing skills up to the mindmum level acceptaole sor college work. By 1979-80, this rate had increased to 55.8 percent. In eddition, the number of entering students whose writing skills were insufficient even for "Subject Ar gcessitated the ereation of "pre-Subject A" courses. Classes in the latter category (which do not include English as a second Langrage) enrolled some 300 students in 1975 but had grown tó 1500 students by 1979-80 (University of California, 1981). The state university system, which draws most of its students from the upper one-inini of the graduating class, also reports that over one-half of its entering students require additional assistance with writing (Roberts, 1982).

Course enrollments in pre-calculus mathemstics (considered below college level) have increased even more than "Subject A" enrollments. Between 1975 and 1979, the proportion of VC freshmen enrolled in pre-calculus coursework increased from 36.2 percent to 48.7 percent (Oniversity of California, 1981). However, this trend may be due partly to increasing interest in fields for which mathematics preparation is essential.

The actual grades recaived by college freshmen (at least at UC) da not decline during the seventies, suggeating either that the University of California's standands are not absolute or that student deliciences are ramediated almost overndght. In Eact, the maan UC grade point average for frashman increased from 2.59 in 1967 to 2.87 in 1976 and then declinse slighty to 2.83 and 2.84 in 1978 and 1979, respectively. Interestingly, this pattern is naariy identical to the changes in entaring GPA described above.

## 5. Youth Preparation for Employment

Many young people do not attend' college after graduation from high school. Instead, they seek work directiy, or choose some other non-school activity. These students comprise about onethird of the high school graduating class, and approximately oke-half of the 18-to 19-year-ola population. See Figure II-1 for Cafifornia estimates.

## a. The Ritional Setting

It is difficult to obtain data that are gpecific to trengs in the - 돕 work-preparedness of American students. Due to national variations in oducational and econcmic systems, the level at which Amarican high school students are prepared for work cannot be compared directiy with that of students elsewhere. However, a 1977 report from the Organisation for Economic Coperation and Development described the international mituation in the mid-seventies as Lollows:

Those who have acquired speciallzed vocational training relatod to job openings experience little difficuity in finding a job which - corresponds to their abilities. . The. links between school and work are virtually non-existent for young people who have not acquired a usable occupational skill at school. . For all these. . the search for the right job may be difficult."


SOURCE: Extrapolations from data contained in Callfornia Pantsecondary Education Comission. California College-Going Rates and Commanity College mransfers. Sacramento: state of California, 1981; Baycock, Kati. Beyona High School Graduation: Who Goes to Collega? Berkeley, CA, University of California, 1978; and Snyder, William. Unpublished state and national graduation statistics, personal communication. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics, November 1982.

The report cited values held by young peopie as a factox contributing to the "youth amploymant problem." Specifically, while most young people have a tairly positive attitude towards work; it appeary that negative aftitudes toward work were "on tha increase" in the saventias. Young people were mecoming increaningly reluctant to accapt authoritive mpervision," reballing againet a mork oxganization which imposes constraints and lepripes them of initiative and responsibility. . They oftan cxiticize the bnxedom of jobs thoy are offarad and ware frequently "apathotic" in the face of adverse working conditions (Organisation tor Economd Cocparation and Development, 1977).

Accoriling to the OBCD report, amployer attitudes in other fountries are similar to thoee reported in the United States:
"On the one hand, they demand increasingly higher educational qualificationt, evan whan thaseudo not reflact usaable vocational skills or do not correspond to job requizemonts. On the other hand, they complain that young peoplo are inadegaately propared for the jobs available to thom."

The report also noten a general reluctance among amployers to hire people under the age of 25 (Erganisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, 1977).

Employment Eigures for the United States show that unomployment rates are significantiy higher Eor people under the age of 25 than for any other age group, as Table II-12 shows. In particular, the 1980 unamployment rate among males between the ages of 20 and 24 was more than 50 pexcent higher than the rate of unemployment for males between the ages of 25 and 29. Similarly, the percentage of famales from 20 to 24 who were unemployed was oyer twice the rate for females between 25 and 54 years of age. Table II-13 indicates that the unemployment rate for

By Age and Sox, 2980

| AGE | PERCESNTAGE UNEMPLOYED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pale | Female |
| 7 16 yeart and over | 6.9 | 7.2 |
| 16 to 21 years | 16.6 | 16.5 |
| 16 to 19 gears | 17.5 | 28.2 |
| 16 to 17 years | 19.1 | 21.2 |
| 18 to 19 yaars | 16.3 | 16.3 |
| 20 to 64 years | 6.1 | 6.1 |
| 20 to 24 yeara. | 12.7 | 10.9 |
| 25 to 54 years | 5.2 | 5.4 |
| 25 to 29 years | 8.0 | 7.5 |
| 30 to 34 years | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| 35 to 39 years | 4.6 | 4.8 |
| 40 to 44 years | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| 45 to 49 yaars | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| 50 to 54 years | 3.3 | 4.3 |
| 55 to 64 yaars | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| 55 to 59 yeare | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 60 to 64 jrears | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| 65 years and ovar | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| 65 to 69 years | 3.5 | 3.8 1.5 |
| 70 years and over | 2.5 | 1.5 |

SOURCE: Burquit of'Labor Statistics. Employment and Earninge. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing office, 1980.

UNEMPLOYNENT RANES FOR RECENTR HIGE SCEDOL GRAOUNY4S (NOT IN COKMEKE) AND DROPOUTS

Ey Eace, October 1977
8

| OHEMPLCAED | HIGR Scpon GRadunces |  | DROPOUTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1976 | 1977 | 2976 | 1977 |
| Whice | 22.08 | 13.18 | 18.5\% | $131.28$ Tota1) |
| Black | 28.84 | 41.85 | 56.0t |  |

Souper: Data drawn from Carnegie Council for policy Studies in Higher Education. Giving Youth a Battar Chance. San Pranciseo: Jossey-Bass, 1979.
$*$.
dropouts is genorally highar than that for high school gracuates, as is the unemploymant rate for minority young people compared to majority young poople.

The National Asenemment of Educational Progress examined the work-zolated skils of young Amertcans during the $1973-74$ school yoar. The exsmination covered three areags comand of basic sieilis, knowledgo about jobs, and career decisionmaking gialls and knowledge. The data muggest problams among 17-yanrolds in all three areas. In the firgt area, bssic skills, the NABP asaminers found that only haif of the 17-year-olds could surcessfully computa the amount of a Enance charge When given the total price of the object and the number and amount of the monthly paymants that only slightly ovar one-third of the 27 -year-olds drafted a sample job application lettor with enough information to enable the amployer to contact them and that maarly four out of tan 17-yearolds questioned could r: correctly draw an object to show thres dimensions. In the ares of job knowledge, the oxaminers found, among other things, that only liety-four parcent of the 17-yaar-olds could correctly answer five questions about training neaded for various occupationg. In the decision-making axsa, the examiners found that most 17-year-oids had decidedly unrealistic fob aspiraetons.

Another review of the competencien required for successinl occupational performance was conducted in the early seventies by the Adult Parformane Level (APL) Project at the thivarsity of Texas. APL researchers constructed ten objectives Iof. Eunctional competency in the aroa of occupational knowledge, including "builalng an oral and writtan vocabulary related to occupational knowledge," the ability to "identify sources of infomation that may lasd to amployment" and "dafine
occupstional categories in terms of the education and job experience required," and the ability mo know attributes and skille which may lead to promotion." For the total mationel sample, approximately one of five apployed snult had difficulty with occupational knowledge.

Data available from the Dapaxtmant of Dafense on the results of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) ${ }^{1}$ also identify significant deficiencies in functional capaidilitiss fox military personnel. However, though the scorss of military personnel as measured by the RSVAs have generally declined rince World War II, the performance of American youth in those skill areas has not decilned. In fact, the mean ASVAB sccre for World War II recruits was 50; whan the test was administered to a reprasentative sample of American youth in 1980 , the mean was 53 (Secretary of Defense, 1982).

The APL and ASVAB anslyses demonstrate a link between years of formal schooling and functional competency. Among adults with less than 7 years of education, more than 50 percent "function only with difficulty" in an occupational satting. In contrast, only 11 percent of bigh school graduates fall into this category. Over one-half of the high school graduates and 80 percent of the sollege graduates were classified as "proficient adults" (Tyler, 1976).

Data from the NAEP Assessment of Career and Occupational Development also show a close relationship between amount of educstion and work-related skills. Figures II-2 through II-6 provide performance

IThis is a test of skills in ten areas, incluaing arithmatic raasoning, paragraph comprehension, general science and mechanical comprehension. These are combined in different ways to suggest work aptitudes.

EICURE II-2

COMFUTATYON AND MEASURKMENT SKILLS

National performance vs. Years of Education


SOURCE: National Assessment of Educational Progress. An Assessment of Career Development: Basic Work Skills. Denver: Education Commission of the States, January 1977.

## GRAPHIC AND FEPERENCE MRTERLALS SKILLS

Nationdi Partormance vs. Years of Education


WRITHEN COMMUNICAT ION SKILLS

National Performance vi. Years of Education


SOURCE: National Assessment of Educational Progress. An Assessment of Career Development: Basic Work skills. Denver: Educstion Comission of the states, January 1977.

## MANUAL AND PERCEPTUAL SKILLS

National Performance vs. Years of Education


SOURCE: National Assessment of Educational Progress. An Assessment of Career Development: Basic Fork Skilis. Denver: Education Commission of the States, January 1977.

PIGDRE II-6

GENERAL JOB KNORLEDGE


SOURCE: National Assessment of Educational Progress. An Assessment of Career Development: Basic Work Skills. Denver: Education Comission of the States, January 1977.
infomation for the adult sample tested. With the exception of manual/perceptual skilis, there is a direct correlation between each additional year of education completad and enhanced work skills. The significant differences between the "some high school" and "high school gradurte" poprlations are noteworthy.

Thase skill differences may account for the differences in employment between recent high school graduates and dropouts, as shown e=-iler in Table II-13. Other studies (e.g., Parnes and Kohen, 1976; Griffen, ot a1., 1981) have documented education-related differences not only in unemployment rates, but also in hourly aarnings and occupational assigment.

## b. California

There is little reliable dsta about the work skills and experiences of California young people. Sevaral sources do, however, provide scme inited insight into the gituation in California.

In general, the work-related experiences of California high school seniors do not appear to differ in many ways from those of all seniors nationally. For example, unemployment is higher among 16-to 19-year-olds and non-whites than among 20- to 24-year-olds and whites, as rable II-14 shows.

Although information about the post-high school work experience of participants in the High School and Beyond study are not yet available, the initial questionnaire used in this study provides information about work-related experiences during high school. Those areas in which California students differ from the national sample include:
o Students in California have higher occupational aspirations and higher expectations in terms of initial earning power;

TABLE II-14
UNEMPLOXMENT AMONG YOUNG CALIFORNIA WORKERS, ANNUAL AVERAGES White and Non-White, 1977-78

| WORKERS | PERCENTAGE UNEMPLOYED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1977 | 1978 |
| 16-19 Year olde |  |  |
| White <br> Non-White | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \\ & 30.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.4 \\ & 33.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20-24 Year Olds |  |  |
| White | 10.7 | 8.7 |
| Non-White | 21.8 | 19.6 |

SOURCE: Employment Development Department. Youth Employment in California. Sacramento: Health and Welfare Agency, 1980. $\infty$

0
More California students work part-time during the senior year (but fewer work more than 30 hours par week): and

- The hourly wages and amount of training received on the job by Californians are higher (Califormia Assessment Program, 2981).

These findings may say more about California labor markets than about the work skills of California students.

Some data are available from the Azmed Services to compare the work-related skills of California high school graduates with graduates nationally. The number of students covered in these data is reiaitiviy small--20,000-25,000 high school graduates per year from California-and the characteristics of the population may change from year to year because of self-selection these data must therefore be used cautiousiy. Table II-15 providas data on military recruits with high scnool diplowas in 1972, 1975, 1978, and 1981. comparing Californians with the total United States. California recruits have pexformed at about the same level as the total United States sample.

In addition, the Department of Defense administers the ASVAB to interested high school students in California and elsewhere each year. In 1977-78, approximateiy 76,000 Californians took the exam, compared to some 59,000 in 1980-81. There were no major changes in performance between these groups on either the four individual skill areas tested in the ASVAB or on the Armed Forces Qualification Test (AFYT) percentile score. Students from California performed at or slightly higher than the national sample on all five measures (Sellman, 1982).

## TABLE 1/-15

AFOT AND ASVAB COMPARISONS OF HIGH SCHDO GRADUATES

National and California, Selected Years


SOURCE: Sollman, W. S. Unpublished state and nat lonal ASVAB and AFOT data, personal communication. Washington, DC: Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, May 1982.

Measuring job performance among young workers is also iffeicult. ${ }^{2}$ Executives from a range of large businesses in California say that the bsaic reading, writing, and calculating skills of young workers has deciined, and is entirely too low. They also complain that many new amployees are not racdily trainable, and have difficulty in simple problem-solving tasks. Howevar, statistical data collected by individual companies are generally not comparable. In addition to problems with basic skills, omployer complaints may also reilect a mismatch between current employer needs for mindmum technical literacy and the technical training of young workers. Nationally, enployment opportunities are growing rapidly in technologically-based areas, and most slowly in jobs that do rot require technical ilteracy. In California, the growth in opportunities in technologically-based jobs is particularly dramatic. High technology job growth in California has been est!mated at 51.4 percent between 1980 and 1990, an increase of some 327,900 jobs. This increase-an annual compound growth rate of 3.97 percent during the eighties-would be almost double the rate of increase of total jobs during the sevent. s-a 2.24 percent compound growth rate (Danielison and Hallinan, 1982, p. 19). Onless skills among young workers keep pace, 'nemployment rates in this population may increase while employer needs go unmet.

[^2]Secondary school enrollments and high school graduation rates in the Onited states are high compared to othiaf countries, though United States graduation rates have recentiy leveled off and begun to deciine gradually. The graduation rate in California is below the national average.

The coursework grades of students in Californda and the nation were inflated ovire the pasi decade, but this inflation appears to have peaked and may be daclining. College-bound California students, however, report higher grades than do college-bound students nationally.

Postsecondary enrollment in the Unitad States is much higher than it is In other countries, and though postsecondary enfoliment in California 1.3 slightly higher than the national average, about seventy percent of these students in California attend two-ycar institutions.

In Cajiformia and the nation, college-bound high school seniors have more trouble doing college level work today, than they did a decade ago. In California, many enterirg freshmen have gexious difficulty with basic writing and quantitative sinils.

The higher the level of schooling completed, the more likely a person is able to find and keep a job. Generally, the unemployment rate of young people is higher than that of the general population, and minority youth have twice the unemployment rate of majority youth. Recent reports of employer dissatisfaction with now employees appear to reflect a combination of inadequate preparation of young people in basic skills, poor work attitudes among youth, and some mismatch between the training of young people and the techyical needs of business and industry.

## CCHLEGE AND WORK-FELATED VERREAL PERFORMANCE

The pracading chaptar presented broad data to assess how well today's California students are prepared for college or work, compared to gtudents 10 or 20 years ago and to Etudents from the United States as a whole. This chapter focuses on a specific area of student performance in high school--the ability of students to rad agd wite-mand shmarizes the trends in these two aspects of verbal skill.

## 1. Reading

a. The National Setting

Performance of All Students. According to the results from the 1973 IEA examination, administared in 14 countries to students in the Einal year of secondary education, American high school seniors ranked in eleventh place in reading comprehension-only Chilean, Iranian, and Indian students compiled lower average scores. As noted in Appendix A, however, it is not entirely appropriate to compare the high school senior population in the United States with that in other nations because 75 percent of American 17-year-olds attend high school, as contrasted with only 9-45 percent in other countries. One method to take into account chis international difference of the percentage of the youth population enrolled in schools is to compare scores for equal proportions of a relevant age group. Using this method, it is appropriate to compare the
reading gcores of the top 9 percent ${ }^{1}$ of the students in the different countries. When this compaxison is made, the top American high school students outperformed students in all of the other 13 countries (wolf, 1977, p. 40).

The resuit is almilar when the scores of the IRA examination in 1iterature are compared. High school seniors in the United States scored fifth among ten nations in IItexature knowledge for all students at this grade level, but when the scores of the top nine percent of the senior populations are compared, the American students led the group (Wolf, 1977, P. 43).

Within the United States, available evidence on trands in raading skills shows a slight deciine. In general, literal comprehension and reference skills, or what might be labeled rudimentary reading skills, have remained relatively stabie or declined silghtiy during the past decade. Mastery of more complex skills, including inferential comprahension (the ability to glean from a passage some idea not explicity gtated), appear to have declined noticeably.

The National Assessment of Eaucational Progress tested reading skills of 17-year-olas wiuring 1971, 1975, and 1980. Table III-1 presencs performancer results for these national assessments. Inferential

[^3]TABLE III-I
NATIONAL MEAN PERCENTAGES AND CHANGES IN CORRECT RESPONSES FOR IN-SCHOOL 17-YEAR-OUDS IN THREE READING ASSESSATMNS ${ }^{1}$

$1_{\text {figures may not total due to rounding. }}$
Indicates significant change in performance between assessments.

SOURCE: National Assessment of Educational Progress. "Three National Assessments of Reading: Changes in Performance, 2970-80." Denver: Education Commission of tho States, undated.
comprehension was the only reading skill that changed significantly during the study period.'

When results from the reading and vocabulary sections of the two longitudinal studies of high school meniors conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics are compared, students in the 1980 sample parfomed approximately 11 standard deviation below students in the 1972 sample (Fetters, 1982). Results from the reading gegments of three comonly used achievement tests--the Iowa Tests of Edulitional Development (ITED), the Minnesota Scholastic. Aptitude Test (MSAT), and the Iowa Test of Basic skills (ITBS)--also suggest a general pattern of decline (Cleary and McCandless, 1976).

Performance of College-Bound Students. Information available from The College Board and the American College Testing Program (ACT) suggests deciines in the verbal skills of the college-bound population as well. Between 1952 and 1963, student scores on the SAT-Verbal fluctuated between 472 and 479, with no particular trends. sAT-Verbal scores declined every year between 1963 and 1980 (Eckiand, 1982). In 1963, the mean national score was 478 ; by 1980 it had slipped to 424 . The following year, the mean verbal score remained stable, with a 2-point increase in 1982. Scores on the ACT-English examination wera 18.5 in 1981 and 17.8 in $1970 .{ }^{2}$
$I_{\text {NAEP }}$ has also conducted detailed analysis of selected sub-populations, and has found that the 1980 scores on reference skills Ior students in the highest achlevement group, students whose parents had at least a high school diploma, and femaie students have decined sharply (National Assessment of Educational Progress, 1981).

2part of this decilne is due to the increased number of tegt takers, as well as changes in the composition of the test-taking population (see Appendix 3).

These college aptitude tests were designed to measure verbal skills in genaral. Sowever, The College Board has made available since 1975 more specific information on specific aspects of verbal performance. The College Board calculates subscores for reading comprehension (by using answers to questions requiring sentence completion after reading various materials), and subscores for vocabulary (Erom analogies and antonyms). . Between 1975 and 1981, SAT-Varbal subscores on reading comprehension decilned from 43.4 on a 20- to 80-point scale (standard deviation: 11.2) to 42.5 (standard deviation: 11.1). Vocabulary subscores decreased from 43.1 (standard deviation: 11.9) to 42.4 (standard deviation: 10.9). As noted in Appendix $B$, however, those who take the $\operatorname{SAT}$ are not the same as all college entrants, nor are they representative of high school graduates.

In sumary, reading skills among all high school seniors and within the college-bound population have declined slightly over the past 10 to 15 years. Declines have been greater in the more complex skill areas, than in madmentary roading skills.

## b. California

Changes in the tegts used to measure twelfth grade achievement in California during the last decade make it impossible to trace precise changes in reading achievement over time. Nonetheless, the general trend in California was clearly downward until 1981. The decine occurred primarily during the early seventieg. At that time, students were examined with the Iowa Test of Educational Development, and Table III-2 presents California ITED scores, along with the publisher's national norms. During the five-year period between 1969 and 1974, Califurnia

## TABLE III-2

## Statewide Standardized Test Results, California Public Schools, 1969-70 Through 1974.75

 Achievement Tests, Grade Twelve
A. Inserquarsite Ranges $125 \mathrm{th}, 50 \mathrm{ih}$, and 75 th Percentile Scores) Compared so Publisher's Norms ${ }^{\text {b }}$

B. Publistier's Percentile Ranks and Grade Equivatent Scores of the Siate Quartile Scores 125th, 501h, and 75th Percentiles)

| 751t Percentile State 031 <br> Stateraw score <br> Publisher's percentlie rank | $\begin{aligned} & 29.8 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.4 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.8 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.1 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.9 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.3 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.8 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 498 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.4 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.3 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.4 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.8 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | 19.0 77 | $\begin{aligned} & 18.7 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.4 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.1 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 501t Percentile IState Q2) <br> Stateraw srote. <br> Publisher's peicentile ank . . | $\begin{aligned} & 21.5 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 212 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.8 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.2 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 201 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4 \\ & 41 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}108 \\ .42 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\therefore \frac{.399}{40}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.5 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.5 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.6 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.1 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.9 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.8 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.6 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.6 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | 12.4 |
| 25ts Peicentic (Sidie OU1) <br> Siate an rame <br> Publistrm, seicentite iank | $\begin{aligned} & 154 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.1 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.9 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.6 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{14} \times 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 303 22 | 296 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 286 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.1 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.1 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.4 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ 23 . \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{2}$ Datd for 1969.70 through 1973.74 fen, esent lall norms. And the puthishers petcenilie iath and glade




SOURCE: California State Department of Education, Student Achievement in California Schools, 1974-75, p. 66.
 columis represalt the miutle to peicent of the test xiores of California students in ylade iwelve. The tup of eath rolumn represents the 75 th uercentile score for Cithifornia students, the boltom represents
 sore.

$$
\text { if } 72
$$

students dropped from the 5 2nd percentile nationally to the 41 st percentile. ${ }^{1}$ Since national performance diso declined during this period, the absolute decline in reading achievement in Califomia may be greater than is reflected in theae percentile declines.

During the last half of the decade, the decline in reading skills was smaller. Table III-3 provtdes data from the reading portion of the Survey of Basic skills, the test used to assess student achievement in California beginning in 1975-76. During this sevenyear period, the average correct score in total reading declined from 64.1 to 63.1, rose slightiy in 1980-81, then declined slightiy again in 1981-82 to 63.2. Like students elsewhere in the country, the largest declines for Californda students came in "interpretive/critical comprehension"-a more complax reading skill. Vocabulazy knowledge also declined more than the remaining skill areas, perhaps in part because of the increased number of limited English speaking students in California. However, in no area were the declines over this five-year period large.

The California state Depaztment of Education conducts special "equating" studies each year to determine how California students would have fared had they taken any of the three nationaliy recognized examinations. Table III-4 presents the results of the studies of reading for 1975-76 through 1981-82. The data in this table show that California's rank varies according to which test and which set of norms

[^4]TAEKE III-3
READING SCORES OR CALIFORNIA THELFTH GRADE SIUDENTS
ON THE "SURVEY OF BASIC SXILLS: GRADE 12"
1975-76 through 1980-811

| shlll asea | Number of quantiona | Avarage parcent corract score, by yons, In each enill area |  |  |  |  |  | Change in average pareent correct seore, by your, in each aklil seen |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2075-76 | 1876-77 | 2977-78 | 1976-79 | 2979-10 | 1980-81 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2975-76 \\ 60 \\ 2976-79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1776077 \\ t 0 \\ 1971-78 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} 157707 \\ \text { to } \\ 1971-79-70 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1575-79 \\ & 190 \\ & 199-60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2979-10 \\ & 60 \\ & x 980-9 x \end{aligned}$ |
| nelas meading | 141 | 64.2 | 63.6 | 63.3 | 63.2 | 63.1 | 63.4 | -0.5 | -0.3 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.3 |
|  |  | 62.3 | 60.3 | 10.5 | 60.2 | 60.0 | 60.2 | -0.1. | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0,2 | +0.2 |
| conpriatension | 17 | 4.5 | 63.9 | 69.7 | 63.7 | 63.3 | 63.8 | -0.6 | 0.2 | -0. | -0.2 | +0.3 |
| bleral |  | 69.2 | 68.9 | 81.5 | 60.8 | 68.5 | -68, 8 | -0.3 | 0.4 | 40.1 | $-0.1$ | -0.9 |
| intorprative/eritical | 30 | 40.1 | . 59.3 | 59.2 | 59.0 | 58.9 | 39.2 68.4 | -0.1 -1.2 | 00.1 40.1 | -0.2 40.1 | $\underset{-0.2}{-0 .}$ | +0.2 +1.0 |
| nuwy-1ceational | 13 | 61.4 | 67.2 | 67.3 | 67.4 | 67.4 | 6.4 | -2.2 | 40.1 | -0.1 | - | -1.0 |

$1_{\text {Recently }}$ released data place the $1981-82$ mean for Total Reading at 63.2. Sub-area breakdowns are not yet available.

SOURCE: California Assessment Program. Student Achievement in California Schools: Annual Report, 1981. Sacramento: State Department of Education, 1981.
estimited mational percentile ganks of median cal ifofina student performance 1969-70 through 1990-81, Grode Twolve

| CONTENT AREA | TEST ADMINISTERED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Iowa Toiste of Educational Devol cpment Form $X_{R}$ normed In 1962 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Survoy }}{\frac{\text { of }}{\text { Basic }}} \\ & \text { Skllis } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Survey of Basic Sklilis' }}{\text { (Rovised) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1969-70 | 1970-71 | 1971-72 | 1972-73 | 1973-74 | 1974-75 | 1975-76 | 1976-77 | 1977-78 | 1978-79 | 1979-80. | 1980-81 | 1981-82 |
| Roading <br> $1 \mathrm{IED}, 1972$ norms 1978 noms | : |  |  |  |  | 41 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 41 |  | 44 |
|  | 52 | 49 | 49 | 47 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| TAP, 1970 norms 1978 noms |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 35 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 32 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| STEP, 1970 norms |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 38 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ |  |

IThe new Callfornla test, the Survey of Bosic Skilis: Grade 12, was acministerod to all Callfornia students from 1974-75 through 1980-81. The percentile ranks oru based on equating studes of the Survey of Basic Skllis and throe other tests with notional nonm: (1) Lowa Tests of Educat lonal Dovelopment, normed in 1962 and 1978; (2) Tests of Academic Progress, normed in 1970 and 1978; and (3) the Sequential Tests of Eductional Progress, normed in 1970 and 1978.

SOURCE: Callfornia Assessment Program. Student Achlevement in Callfornio Schools: Annual Report, 1981. Sacramento: State Department of Education, 1981, p. 45.

are cited. If one looks at the Tests of Academic Progress (2AP), for example, and uses noms established on the basis of national performance In 1970, California gtudents rant in the 33rd percentilef if one uses nopns established in 1978, Californda's rank increases to the 4 and. percentile. Thus, the available data indicate that, using the most recent nozme, Califarnia studentg generally rank between the $42 n d$ and 47th percentile nationdily in resding.

The varbal skills of college-bound Californians dropped dramatically during the seventies, as Figure III-1 shows. In 1972, the mean California score on the EAT-Verbal was 464 (standard deviation: 112); by 1980, 1t had declined to 424 (standard deviation: 111). ${ }^{1}$ Californa college-bound students currently Eerform one point below the national average of 426 on the SAT-Verbal, though the California average was abpve the national mean in the early seventies. ${ }^{2}$ The score decilne in California was earlier and more pronownced than the national decline, but the reccuery came more quidikly. ${ }^{3}$

Reading subscore information from The College Board shows only very slight decilnes for California since 1975. In that year, the mean SAT-Verbal subscores of Californla students in reading comprehension and

1 The increased number of Californians taking the SAT and the increaged numbers of limited English speaking students explain some, but by no means a11, of this decilne (see Appendix $B$ for more information on this issue).

2The tests constructed for use in the National Center for Education statistics' High School and Beyond study yield a similar result. On the reading test, the mean score for the California sample was 49.85; the median gcore was 49.98. Nationally, the mean score was 49.64 and the median 49.95 (Cailfornia Assessment Program, 1981).
${ }^{3}$ Results $\operatorname{Erom}$ the ArM show a higher mean score for Californians on the 1981 English examination ( 18.4 with 5.3 standard deviation) than the national average ( 17.8 with 5.4 standard deviation).

## FIGURE III-1

SCROLASTIC APTITUDE TEST VERBAL SCORES FOR HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS IN CALIFORNIA AND THE UNITED ELATES

1960 Through 1982


SOURCE: California Asgessment Program. Student Achievement in California Schools: Annual Report, 1981. Sacramento: state Department of Education, 1981 (1982 information added).
vocabulary were 43.3 and 43.1 , respectively. By 1980 , the reading score slipped to 42.6 and the vocabulary score to 42.3 , although both turned upward again in 1981. Paxtomance of college-bound California students On the measures of raading comprohension did not differ significantly Erom the national average: Both deciined slightiy (see Table III-5).

This review of the parformance of college-bound students is consistent with an analysis of Californa Assessment Program (CAP) data conducted recantly by the Callfornia State Department of Education. This analysis shows that raading scores for California gtudents in the highest achievement decile did not slip significantly between 1976-77 and 1979-80 (see Table III-6). Unfortunately, similar information is not available for other periods of timemespecially the late sixties and early seventies, whon most of the skill declines appear to have occurred.

## 2. Writing

## a. The National Setting

Performance of All Students. While there are at present no data comparing the writing skills of students in different countries, some data refer to trends in writing skills within the United States. Perhaps the most comprehensive data are from the riational Assessment of Educational Progress, which include results from writing assessments conducted in 2969-70, 1973-74, and 1978-79. NAEP found silght decines in the quality of writing performance among American students over the three assessment periods.

According to NAEP, Whe majority of students at ages 9, 13, and 17 demonstrated control over the basic mechanics of writing. However, a sizable minority at each age appeared to have very serious problems with

## SAT-VEREAL SUBSCORES

National and California Test Takers, 1975-1981

| AREA | 1975 |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | National | California | Mational | California |
| Reading Comprehension, |  |  |  |  |
| Subscore | 43.4 | 43.3 | 42.5 | 42.7 |
| Std. Dev. | 11.2 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 11.1 |
| Vocabulary |  |  |  |  |
| Subscore | 43.1 | 43.1 | 42.4 | 12.5 |
| Std. Dev. | 11.9 | 11.8 |  | 12.0 |

sOURCE: The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1981; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1975.


READTGG PEREORMANCS, GRADE TWELVE
California survey of Bagic skills
Percentasa Corxact at Selected Student Percentile Rank Polnts

| PERCESNTILE | YEAR OP TESTSING |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1975-76 | 1976-77 | 1977-78 | 1978-79 | 1979-80 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHANGE } 1975-76 \\ \text { TO } \quad 1979-80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 90 | 90.3 | 90.1 | 90.1 | 89.8 | 89.8. | -0.5 |
| 80 | 82.5 | 82.0 | 82.3 | 81.6 | 81.5 | $-1.0$ |
| 70 | 76.5 | 76.1 | 76.1 | 75.6 | 75.5 | -1.0 |
| 60 | 70.8 | 70.3 | 70.4 | 69.9 | 69.6 | -1.2 |
| 50 | 64.8 | 64.3 | 64.3 | 63.9 | 63.6 | -1.2 |
| 40 | 58.8 | 58.3 | 58.1 | 57.9 | 57.6 | - -1.2 |
| 30 | 51.6 | 51.1 | 51.0 | 50.6 | 50.5 | -1.1 |
| 20 | 43.8 | 43.3 | 43.1 | 42.8 | 42.6 | -1.2 |
| 10 | 32.3 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 31.6 | 31.8 | -0.5 |

SOURCE: California state Department of Education. "Lilifornia Survey of Basic Skills: Percentage Correct at Selected Judent Percentage Rate Points." Unpublished. Sacramento: 1981.
writing. ." Specifically, the assessments conciude that narrative writing skills declined between 1969 and 1974, and then improved dxamatically; persuasive writing skilis declined between 1974 and 1979; and the ability of test takers to draft business or humorous letters zumained stable. There was some improvement in the coherence of otudent writing over the ten-year period, but the frequancy of mechanical errors remained approximately the same.

NAgP'f 1979-80 Assessment of Reading and Literature suggests larger declines in the more complex writing skills. Results from this eramination show a ten-point deciine since 1970-71 in the percentage of 17 year-olds able to write an adequate interpretation of literature.

Performance of College-Bound students. Informacion on the writing abilities of college-bound students suggests small but steady deciines during the late seventies. Scores on the SAT rest of Standard Written English (TSWE) have declined slightly each year since the test was first administered in 1974-75. In 1975, the mean TSWE score for all test takers was 43.2; by 1981, it was 42.2. Declines were particularly marked at the higher-scoring levels. Results from the more advanced English Comp sition test, which is generally taken by the more able SAT cakers, present a mxed picture. Between 1971 and 1981, the mean score on this examination fluctuated rather erratically between 552 and 512. During this time, the number of test takers dropped markediy (see Appendix B), but changes in the composition of the test takers bear no apparent relationship to the score changes.
b. California

Performance of 211 Stuaents. The California Assessment Frogram's Survey of Basic skilis contains a 142 -question test of written
expression. Average high school student performance on this examination dropped slightly through 1977-78, then increased slightly during the following four years (see Table III-7). During the latter period, improvements occurred in sentence recognition and capitalization punctuation--two writing skills considered rudimentary; mastery of the more complex skills barely increased, which again suggests that students are having problems with these higher-level skills. A 1977 study of the writing performance of California high school seniors (California Assessment Program, 1979) draws a similar correlation. The improvements in writing skill between 1975 and 1982 do not compensate for the sharp decline during the early seventies. Between 1970 and 1975 , California performance on the language test in the ITED declined from a mean of 40.8 to 37.1 . The publisher's percentile ranking for California dropped from 42 to 32 during this period.

The writing skills of California high school seniors, on average, fall below the national average. The 1980-81 CAP report places California twelfth graders at the $35 t h$ percentile on national norms in language, though other information in $\because$ cap report suggests that this estimate wiy be inaccurate. Table III-8 presents writing skills information for three national examinations and several noming dates. When norms established in 1970 or earlier are used, California students rank somewhere between the 29 th and 35 th percentiles nationally. Because national performance on these examinations has declined, the later norms place Californians in a higher position: somewhere between the 40 th and 57th percentiles. Since these data are somewhat inconsistent, California's standing compared to the national average cannot be stated exactly. However, there seems little doubt that California high school

WRITIEN EXPRESSION SCORES OF CALIFORNIA TWELFIH GRADE STUDENTS ON THE "SURVEY OF BASIC SKILLS: GRADE 12"

$$
\text { 1975-76 Througi 1980-81 }{ }^{1}
$$

| ckldl area | Number of questiona | Average percent correct score, by year, in ald axill araas |  |  |  |  |  | Change In average percont corress mwh by your, for all skidl atent |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1975-76 | 1976-77 | 2977-78 | 1978-79 | 1979-10 | 2980-81 | $\begin{gathered} 2975-76 \\ 60 \\ 2976-77 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1976-77 \\ 60 \\ \hline 297-73 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\left.\begin{array}{l} 197 \pi \\ t 0 \\ 1978-70 \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 1977-19 \\ \text { to } \\ 2979-30 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 11174 \\ 16: \\ 1844 \end{array}\right.$ |
| rotal 'witten expression | 142 | 62.3 | 61.9 | 62.2 | \$2.4 | 62.4 | 63.2 | -0.4 | +0.2 | +0.3 | 00 | H.1\% |
| word form | 24*" | 72.6 | 72.1 | 72.1 | 71.9 | 72.2 | 72.5 | -0.3 | $\rightarrow 0$ | -0.2 | +0.9 | 0.9 |
| Lenquage choicas |  | 66.9 | 86.7 | 66.6 | 66.6 | 66.3 | 66.7 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0- | -0.3 | 4.1 : |
| sentence cecognition | 20 | 67.3 | 67.7 | 68.4 | 68.8 | 69.0 | 70.1 | +0.4 | +0.7 | +0.4 | 40.2 | 4.14 |
| Sentence manipuiation | 12 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 43.4 | 43.7 | 43.7 | 44.3 | -0- | +0.5 | +0.3 | $0-$ | *. 11 |
| Paragrapha. |  | 59.9 | 59.1 | 59.3 | 59.7 | 59.7 | 60.2 | -0.1 | +0.2 | +0.4 | $\rightarrow 0$ | 0.1 ! |
| Capitalisation/ punctuation | 28 | 54.6 | 54.3 | 54.7 | 35.4 | 55.4 | 56.6 | 0.1 | +0.4 | 40.1 | -0. | 41.8 |
| sperilling | 12 | 88.0 | 87.9 | 68.4 | 61.4 | 61.8 | 69.0 | $\rightarrow 0.1$ | -0.5 | -0- | 40.1 | $10.1{ }^{\circ}$ |

$1_{\text {Recently }}$ released data place the $1981-82$ mean for Total written expression at 63.2. Sub-area breakdown are not yet available.
$\begin{aligned} & \text { SOURCE: California Assessment Program. Student Achievement in } \\ & \text { California Schools: Annual Report, 1981. Sacramento: State } \\ & \text { Department of Education, } 1981 .\end{aligned}$

## TABLE |l|-8

ESTIMATED MATIOUL PERCENTILE RUNKS OF MEDIAN CNLIFORNIA STUDENT PEREORMMCE 1969-70 through 1980-81, Grade Twelve


IThe new Callfornia test, the Survey of Basic Skills: Grade 12, was administered to all Callfornla students from 1974-75 through 1980-81. The percantlle ranks are based on equating studies of the Survey of Basic Skllis and three ofther tests with national norms: (1) Lawa Tests of Educotional Development, normed In 1962 and 1978; (2) Yests of Academic Progress, nommed in 1970 and 1978 ; and (3) the Sequent lal Tests of Educational Progress, nomed in 1970 and 1978.

SOURCE: Callfornia Assessment Program. Student Achlevement In Callfornia Schools: Annual Report, 1981. Sacramento: State Department of Education, 1981, p. 45.
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seniors rank considerably below the national average in writing skilis, though they may be improving theix position.

Performance of College-Bound Students. There is a clear downward trend in writing skills among the most able college bound Californians. In 1972, the mean California score on The College Board's English Composition Test (BCT) was 525; by 1981, it had fallen to 495.

The data in Table III-9 show that decreases in writing skills among the highest achievers have been more pronounced than those among other students. In 1976, for example, some 1,812 California students scored above 700 on the ECT; in 1981, although slightly more California students took the exam, only 923 scored above 700 (The College Board, 1976 through 1991).

In 1972, California students exceeded the national average on the English Composition Test by some 9 points ( 525 V. 516 mean score). As shown in Table III-10, this relationship was reversed in 1974 , and the separation between the two increased nearly every year thereafter. In 1981, California students averaged 495 points on tise ECT; students across the country compiled a mean score of 512. It should be noted, however, that proportionately more California high school graduates take this exam than is the case nationally (10 percent in California versus 6 percent nationally in 1981). If the top 6 percent of the graduating class in California took the exam, the California mean might meet or exceed the national mean (see Appendix B).

As shown in Table III-11, scores from the SAT Test of Standard Written English present an interesting contrast to results from the more advanced English Composition test. While TSWE scores for the nation as a whole hava declined since the test was first administered in 1974-75,

THE COLEGE BORD ENE ISH COMPOSITION TEST SCORES

Collfornia Students, 1976-1981

sOURCE: The Colloge Board. Adinlssions Tosting Program Reports. Now York: 1981; The Colloge Board. Admisslons Testing Program Roports. New York: 1980; The College Board. Admisslons Tosting Program Reports. Now York: 1979; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Roports. 'Now York: 1978; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reparts. Now York: 1977; Tho Colloge Boerd. Admissions Testing Program Roports. Now York: 1976.

TAETE III-10
THE COLLISGE BOARD ENGLISA COMPOSITION TEST

Mean Scores, National vi. California

| YBAR | EATIONAL |  | CALIFORNIA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Masn | Sta. Devi: | Mean | Std. Dev. |
| 1972 | 516 | 108 | 525 | N/A |
| 1973 | 517 | 107 | 519 | N/A |
| 1974 | 517 | 107 | 515 | N/A |
| 1975 . | 515 | 107 | 308 | N/A |
| 1976 | 532 | 111 | 521 | 110 |
| 1977 | 516 | 107 | 505 | 107 |
| 1978 | 512 | 105 | 498 | 105 |
| 1979 | 514 | 106 | 501 | 106 |
| 1980 | 518 | 106 | 503 | 108 |
| 1981 | 512 | 104 | 495 | 105 |

SOUPCE: University of California. Report to the Policy Committee on the University of California's Activities to Assist Underprepared Students: Part I. Berkeley, CA: 1981.

TARLE III-11

TEST OF SIANDARD WRITMEN ENGLISK SCORES
National and California, 1975-1981

| YgAR | NATIONAL |  | CALIFORNIA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Dev. | Mean | Std. Dev. |
|  | 42.7 | 10.7 | 43.2 | 10.8 |
| 1976 | 42.7 | 10.9 | 43.1 | 10.8 |
| 1977 | 42.3 | 11.1 | 42.9 | 10.9 |
| 1978 | 42.3 | 11.0 | 42.8 | 10.8 |
| 1979 | 42.7 | 10.9 | 42.5 | 10.8 |
| 1980 | 42.5 | 11.0 | 42.4 | 11.0 |
| 1981 | 42.6 | 10.8 | 42.2 | 10.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1981; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1980; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1979, The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1978; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1977; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1976; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1975.

TSWE scores in California have remained relatively stable. Thus, California students scored slightly below the national average when the test was first administered (42.7 with 16.7 standard deviation versus 43.2 with 10.8 stendard deviation) by 1981 , the California average was Silghtly above the national average (42.6 with 10.8 standard deviation versus 42.2 with 10.8 standard deviation).

This anomaly has several possible explanations. First, the two tests may well measure different levals of writing skills. For example, as a part of the general SAT battery, the 2 SWE may focus primarily on rudimentary writing skills, while the ECT focuses on more complex skills. Second, more than 100,000 Califaraia students took the TSWE during each of the study years, only some 30,000 Californians, principaliy those applying to UC and other elite institutions, took the BCT. The decline in the California ECT scores may thus reflect a decine in writing performance among highest achieving students.

The apparent deciine in the writing skills of top students is further illustrated by the increased number of students entering UC who are required to take "Subject $A, "$ a remedial writing course designed to bring student writing skills up to college-level standards. As noted earlier, enrollments in "Subject $A$ " classes have increased from 48.7 percent of the freshman class in 1975 to 55.8 percent in 1980. over half of the entering students in the California State University system are required to take equivalent classes, but the fraction has not increased significantly in the past several years.

## 3. Summary: The Verbal Skills of California Students

The reading skilis of Califormin high school seniors declined markediy between 1970 and 1975. Dscraases occurred in all skill areas, but were more pronounced in complex areas. Since 1975, the decline has leveled off in rudimentary siellls, but may still be occurring in complex skills. Most data sources agree that California students are below the national average in reading-probably between the 4 2nd and 47 th percentiles.

The writing skills of California high school seniors deciined fairly steadily between 1970 and 1977, than improved somewhat thereafter. Recent improvements have occurred primarily in rudimentary writing skills, but higher-level skills have also improved slightly. In general, the witing skills of California high school seniors are below the national average. If 1970 noms are used, Californta students fall in the mid 30 th percentiles; if later norms are used, in the 40 th to 57 th percentiles.

The verbal skills of college-bound students in California who take the SAT have deciined aramatically since 1971. California test takers were ten points above the national avarage in 1972, and dropped to the national average in 1976, where they presently stand. The information on writing skills for college-bound students shows that the advanced level skills measured by the English Composition Test have declined over the pagt decade, while the more rudimentary skills measured in the rest of Standard Written English have remained relatively stable. California students score slightis: above the national average on the TSWE, but below the national average on the ECT.

## CHAPTER IV

COLLESGE AND WORK-RELLATED QUANTITATIVE PERFORMANCE

Many critics of public education believe that today's students are not being adequately prepared for a technclogically-based society. It is claimed that there is a crisis in pre-college education in acience and mathematics that is a serious threat to our nation's economic, political, and military strength" (Alder, 1982, p. 1.). Reliable commentators in government and business have predicted severe economic consequences-both for technology-oriented California and for the nation as a whole-1f these programs are not improved.

The following information sumarizes student performance trends in mathematics and science.

## 1. Mathematics

a. The National Setting

High school seniors from the United States scored considerably lower on the IEA mathematics examination than students in other participating countrieg. The mean mathematics score for American students was 13; students in the next lowest countries, Australia and Finland, scored 21 and 24, respectively. $2 h 1 s$ examination was conducted in 1967, befnce Amexican scores on standaraized tests began their lengthy decline.

These international comparisions can be misleading, however, due to the vastly different national rates of school attendance through the final year of secondary education. A comparison of the scores of the top nine prrcent of students in each industrialized country shows a somewhat
higher ranking for American students. The scores of the top froup of American students placed the United States in ninth place among the thirteen ccuntries, as shown by the solid line in Table IV-1. The scores of top students in Japan, Sweden, and Israel exceed their Amer:.can counterparts by ten or more points.

The resuits from the 1972-73 and 1977-78 NAEP Mathematics Ansessments suggest declining mathematics skills among 17-year-olds within tize United states. During that five-year period, there was a decline in mathematical understanding, problem-solving, and complex mathemats es skills; and particularly in computational skills such as calculati:rg fractions, percents, and exponents. Approximately two-thirds of the 17-year-olds could not compute multiple-step problems or problams. involoing applicaticn of mathematics knowledge (Forbes, 1982). However, rudimentary mathematics knowledge did not decline between the testi, ind metric knowledge improved.

The analysis provided by NAEP illustrates the serious nature of the state of mathematics efucation in this countiy. Specifically, in reviewing NAEP results, analysts found:

- Over 90 percent of 17 -year-olds could answer basic addition, subtraction, and multiplication items correctly; the rate was somewhat lower for division.
- Twenty-five percent of 17 -year-olds could not supply the correct answer to questions about the number of quarts in a gallon, ounces in a pound, or feet in a yard.
- Most 17-year-olds could add o multiply 2- or 3-digit numbers correctly; two-thirds coult add simple fractions.
- Only 58 percent of 17 -year-olds knew what percentage 30 is of 60 and oniy 27 percent could calculate 4 percent of 75.


SOURCE: Abstracted from Husen, Torsten. International Study of Achievement in Mathematics: A Comparison of Twelve Countries, Volume II. New York: John Wiley, 1967, p. 124.
o Nearly one-fifth of 17-year-olds could not read a miler to the nearest quarter inch and over two-fifths could not convert feet to yazds.

Only 42 percent of the 17 -year-olds could successfully compute the area of a square, when the length of only one side was given.

Results from the mathematics portions of other achievement tests generally suggest a pattern of decline similar to that found by NAEP. ${ }^{1}$ Results from the ITED Test of Quantitative Thinking show dacilnes between 1965 and 1974 of slightly more than two percent of a standard deviation per year in grade twelve. On the Project Talent examinations of math-related knowledge, scores in quantitative raasoning decilned by one percent of a standard deviation per year between the 1960 and 1975 tests, computational skills by two percent of a standard deviation per year, and general mathematics skills remained stable (Cleary and McCandless, 1976).

For college-bound students nationally, the data also suggest declining mathematics performance. Mean scores on the SAT-Mathematics examination reached a high of 502 in 1962 , then dropped to 466 by 1981 (Eckland, 1982). In 1982, the mean score rose to 467. On the ACP-Mathematics examination, mean scores declined from 20.0 (standard deviation: 6.6) in 1970 to 17.3 (standard deviation: 7.9) in 1981.2

IThe two longituainal studies of high school seniors conducted by the NCES in 1972 and 1980 included tests of mathematics abilities, but comparative score data were not available as of this writing.

2The results from The College Board Mathematics Achievement tests are inconclusive, in part because of changes in the test-taking population. (The Math I test-taking population dropped from 240,089 in 1972 to 145,851 in 1981.) Math Level I scores have fluctuated between 536 and 547, with no discernible trends. Math Level II scores have generally headed downward since 1977.

## b. California

Table IV-2 provides mathematics score information from the Survey of Basic Skills for 1975-76 through 1980-81.1 Despite minor fluctuations, the average scores were approximately the same in this time period. At first, perfomance dropped somewfiat, but by 1980 , the mean level of "percent correct" slightly exceeded the 1975 level. Between 1979-80 and 1980-81, performance improved in all of the skill areas tested, ordinarily by about one percentage point. In general, increases were somewhat larger in the more rudimentary computational skills than in the more complex mathematics applications. In 1981-82, mathematics perfomance declined slightly, to new average of 67.7.

The equating studies performed by the California Stato Department of Education py aca California students below the national average in mathematics (publisher's percentile raniking on the ITED for 1981-82 was 45). Results from the cognitive examinations administered to the High School and Beyond sample place California students fractionally above the national average. Table IV-3 provides tho HS \& B test results for the California and national samples.

The mathematics test-taking performance of college-bound Californians shows trends that are similar to those reported earlier for verbal
skilis. SAT-Math scores declined during the seventies but have

[^5]
## MATHEMATICS SCORES OF CALIFORNIA TWELFTH GRADE STUDENTS AND CHANGE IN SCORES

Survey of Basic Skills: Grade 12, 1975-76 Through 1980-81 ${ }^{1}$

| Skill area | Number of firestions | Average percent corfect score |  |  |  |  |  | Chang in average perceht corsect gcore |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1975-76 1976-77 1977-78 1978-79 1979-80 1980-81 |  |  |  |  |  | $1975-76$ $1976-77$ $1977-78$ $1978-79$ $1979-80$ <br> to to to to to <br> $1976-77$ $1977-78$ $1978-79$ $1979-80$ $1980-81$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics, total | 198 | 67.0 | 66.3 | 66.3 | 66.5 | 66.8 | 68.0 | -0.7 | -0- | +0.2 | 40.3 | 41.2 |
| Arithmetsc | 98 | 72.9 | 72.1 | 72.2 | 72.7 | 73.1 | 74.5 | -0.8 | 40.1 | +0.5 | +0.4 | +1.4 |
| Wumber concept: | 28 | 74.3 | 73.5 | 73.6 | 73.9 | 74.2 | 75.4 | -0.8 | +0.1 | +0.3 | +0.2 | +1.3 |
| Number and numeration | 14 | 71.0 | 70.1 | 69.9 | 70.1 | 70.6 | 72.1 | -0.9 | -0.2 | +0.2 | +0.5 | 41.5 |
| Number theory | 8 | 76.2 | 75.9 | 76.4 | 76.9 | 76.7 | 77.7 | -0.3 | +0.5 | +0.5 | -0.2 | +1.0 |
| number properties | 6 | 79.6 | 78.5 | 78.6 | 78.8 | 78.7 | 79.8 | $-1.1$ | 40.1 | 40.2 | -0.1 | +1.1 |
| Whole numbers | 22 | 80.1 | 80.1 | 80.1 | 80.6 | 81.0 | 81.7 | -0. | -0. | +0.5 | +0.4 | +0.7 +1.1 |
| computation | 14 | 80.9 | 81.0 | 81.2 | 81.9 | 82.4 | 83.5 | +0.1 | +0.2 | +0.7 | +0.5 | +1.1 |
| Application | 8 | 78.7 | 78.5 | 78.2 | 78.3 | 78.1 | 78.6 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 40.1 | +0.1 | +0.2 |
| Fractions | 26 | 66.0 | 60.5 | 64.3 | 64.7 | 65.0 | 66.3 | -1.5 | -0.2 | +0.4 | +0.3 | 41.3 |
| Computation | 14 | 70.4 | 68.3 | 68.4 | 69.0 | 69.6 | 71.5 | -2.1 | +0.1 | +0.6 | +0.6 | 41.9 . |
| Application | 12 | 60.9 | 60.0 | 59.5 | 59.6 | 59.7 | 60.2 | -0.9 | -0.5 | 40. | +0.1 | 40.5 |
| onecimals | 22 | 71.8 | 71.2 | 72.0 | 72.9 | 73.7 | 75.8 | -0.6 | +0.8 | +0.9 | +0.8 | +2.1 |
| Computazion | 14 | 74.1 | 73.8 | 74.8 | 75.8 | 76.7 | 79.1 | -0.3 | +1.0 | +1.0 | +0.9 | +2.4 |
| Application | 8 | 67.8 | 66.6 | 67.2 | 67.7 | 68.3 | 70.1 | -1.2 | +0.6 | +0.5 | +0.6 | +2.8 |
| Algebra | 32 | 62.9 | 62.1 | 61.8 | 62.1 | 62.3 | 63.5 | -0.8 | -0.3 | +0.3 | +0.2 | +1.2 |
| Computation | 14 | 66.4 | 65.9 | 65.5 | 68.0 | 66.4 | 67.6 | -0.5 | -0.4 | +0.5 | +0.4 | 41.2 |
| Application | 18 | 60.1 | 59.2 | 58.8 | 59.1 | 59.1 | 60.2 | -0.9 | -0.4 | +0.3 | -0- | +1. |
| Geonetry | 24 | 62.7 | 62.1 | 61.8 | 61.8 | 62.0 | 62.4 | -0. 5 | -0.3 | -0- | +0.2 | 40.6 |
| Knowledge of facts | 12 | 75.2 | 75.5 | 75.5 | 75.4 | 75.5 | 76.0 | +0.3 | -6- | -0.1 | +0.1 | +0.5 |
| Application | 12 | 50.1 | 48.7 | 48.1 | 48.3 | 48.4 | 48.8 | -1.4 | -0.6 | +0.2 | +0.1 | +0.4 |
| Measurement | 30 | 60.5 | 59.5 | 59.4 | 59.0 | 59.2 | 60.0 | -1.0 | -0.1 | -0. 1 | +0.2 | +0.8 |
| Knowledge of facts | 12 | 71.6 | 70.5 | 70.1 | 69.7 | 69.6 | 70.8 | -1.1 | -0.4 | -0.1 | -0.1 | $+1.2$ |
| Application | 18 | 53.1 | 52.2 | 52.2 | 51.9 | 52.2 | 52.9 | -0.9 | -0. | -0.3 | +0.3 | 40.7 |
| Probability and statistics | 14 | 57.2 | 56.9 | 57.3 | 57.1 | 57.8 | 59.2 | -0.3 | 40.4 | +0.1 | +0.4 | +1.4 |
| Computation | 6 | 57.9 | 57.6 | 58.3 | 59.0 | 59.6 | 61.3 | -4.3 | +0.7 | +0.7 | +0.6 | +1.7 |
| Application | 8 | 56.6 | 56.3 | 56.5 | 56.2 | 56.5 | 57.6 | -0.3 | +0.2 | -0.3 | +0.3 | +1.1 |
| Problem solving | 62 | 61.8 | 60.7 | 60.6 | 60.7 | 60.9 | 61.7 | -1.1 | -0.1 | +0.1 | +0.2 | -0.8 |
| Alithatic | 28 | 68.5 | 61.2 | 67.1 | 67.2 | 67.5 | 68.3 | -1.3 | -0.1 | -0. 2 | +0.3 | +6. |
| *urames | 34 | 56.2 | 33.4 | 35.2 | Ss. 3 | 55.4 | 50.2 | -0.0 | $-0.2$ | -0.1 | -0.1 | +0.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Recently released data put the 1981-82 mean at 67.7 percent correct.
SOURCE: California Assessment Program. Student Achievement in California Schools: Annual Report, 1981. Sacramento: State Departmant of Education, 1981, p. 58.

## TABLE IV-3

HIGR SCHOOL AND EEYOND COGNITIVE TESTS
Mathomatics Results for National and California Samples 1980

Bis

| TEST SCORE | NATIONAL | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math - Part I (mean) | 49.60 | 50.48 |
| (median) | 49.39 | 50.66 |
| Math -Part II (mean) | 49.67 | 50.14 |
|  | (median) | 48.06 |

SOUREE: California Assessment Program. Dnpublished material on California Results from High School and Beyond. Sacramento: California state Department of Education, 1981.
thereafter been slightly above the national average, except between 1976 and 1978, as shown in Figure IV-1. However, Californians recovered from the decline more rapidly in mathematics than in verbal skilis: by 1982, the California average on the SAT-Math rose to 474 while the national average remained at $467^{\circ}$. ACT resuits for California show a similar trend, with mean scores in mathematics belon the national average in the mid to late seventies, but above the national average now.

Results from the more advanced Coliege Board Math I and II achievement tests reveal a distinct pattern. As noted earlier, national scores on the Math Level I exam dropped from 541 in 1972 to 536 in 1980. California scores also dropped, but to a much greater degree. In 1972, the average score in California was 551 , by 1980 it had dropped to 520 . Some of this deciine is probably due to changes in the test-taking population, for although the national Math I population decreased over these eight years, the Califorria population increased by some 25 percent. However, it $1 s$ unlikely that the increase in test takers explains all of the decine (see Appendix B;.

California sath Level II scores also dropped between 1976 and 1981. In 1976, the mean score was 657; by 1981, it had deciined to 651. During this period the number of test takers increased from 4,289 to 5,547. Although the actual number of $700+$ scores increased between 1976 and 1981 ( 1,887 to 2,010 ), the proportion of $700+$ scores as a percentage of the total declined from 44 percent to 34 percent.

The declines in The College Board math achievement scores are consigtent with data provided by the Univergity of California on its entering students. A recent UC study. found that course enroliments in pre-calculus mathematics, considered by the California postsecondary

SCHOLASTIC APTITUDE TEST MATHEMATICS SCORES FOR HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS IN CALTFORNIA AND THE UNXTED SLATES

1960 Through 1982


SOURCE: California Assessment Program. Student Achievement in California Schools: Annual Report, 1981. Sacramento: State Department of Education, 1981. (1982 infomation added).
communty to be below college level, have increased dramatically. Between 1975 and 1979, the proportion of UC freshmen enrolled in preicalculus course work increased from 36.2 percent to 48.7 percent (University of California, 1981).1

## 2. The Sciences

## a. The National Setting

As with mathematics, United States high school seniors compiled a Lower mean score on the 1973 IEA assessment of science achievement than students in other courcries. The mean score for the United States sample was approximately 14; the mean score for the total 14 -country sample was 22. However, as shown in Table IV-4, the highest-achieving American students scored approximately at the international mean of top performing students: for the top 9 percent, American students scored silghtiy above the international mean; for the top 5 percent, American students scored slightly below the mean; and for the top 1 percent, they scored at the international mean. However, the highest-achieving students in Australia, New zealand, England, and Scotland achieved considerably higher scores in science than did their American counterparts. (Students in several other countries scored highar than the United states, but not by such a wide margin.)
-
$I_{\text {Some }}$ portion of this increase is due to greater student interest in mathematics-based fields.

## INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS IN SCIENCE

Results from 1973 IEA Examinations

| COUNTRY | Top Nine <br> Percent | Top Eive <br> Percent | Top One <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Augtralia | 39.9 | 44.0 | 51.5 |
| Belgium (Fiemish) | 30.5 | 1.33 .0 | 39.8 |
| Belgium (French) | 28.4 | 30.9 | 36.2 |
| England. | 35.5 | 41.6 | 51.6 |
| Federal Republic of Germany | 33.0 | -3.6 .8 | 45.8 |
| Finland | 30.7 | 35.7 | 46.0 |
| France | 28.4 | 35.3 | 45.0 |
| Hungary | 35.0 | 39.0 | 48.0 |
| Italy | 22.7 | 27.4 | 38.2 |
| The Netherlands | 30.3 | 37.2 | 47.1 |
| New Zaland | 36.8 | 43.5 | 52.8 |
| Scotland | 34.4 | 40.6 | 50.7 |
| Sweden | 37.0 | 41.2 | 49.5 |
| United States | 30.7 | 35.7 | 46.0 |
|  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: Data from Combers, $L$. C.; and Reeves, John. Science Education in Nineteen Countries: An Empirical Study. International Studies in Evaluation, Volume I. New York: John Wiley, 1973, p. 174.

Data fiou the NAgp suggest that science achievement is decilning among 17-year-olds in the United States. Between 1969-70 and 1972-73, the mean "parcent correct" scores on the RAEP assesmment decilned by 2.8 parcentage points. On questions dealing with the biological eciences, 17-year-oid scores declined by 1.2 percentage points; in the physical sciences, the drop was larger: 3.5 percentage points. Between 1972-73 and 1976-77, the drop was 1.9 percentage points (1.1 points in the biological scjences and 2.4 points in the physical sciences).

The information available on the science achievement of college-bound students is insufficient to warrant any generd conclusions. of the two major testing organizations, only ACT tests all students in science, and scores on the natural science test of the ACT have not changed significantly between 1970 and 1981. However, some experts believe that the ACT examination is a test of science reading ability rather than a test of science knowledge, so those results are of questionable uge in measuring science achievement. The College Board offers three achievement tests in science, but few studerits take these exams nationally. rable IV-5 presents-infomation on the number of test takers and their mean scores in biology, chemistry and physics. The scores fluctuated in all three science fields over these years.

In sum, science achievement has apparently deciined for the total rational high school senior population. The rate of decine in physical sciences is greatar than that of biological sciences. At the onllege-bound level, the data are insufficient to permit general conclugions but, at least among the highly able students who take The College Board arhievement tests, they do not suggest any signiricant declines.
$10{ }^{\circ}$

## THE COLLEGE BOARD SCIENCE ACHIEVEMENT SCORES

## National--Selected Years

| AREA | 1972 | 1974 | 1976 | 1978 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIOLOGI | 51.371 | 46,468 | 46,041 | 47.291 | * 40,580 | 40,480 |
| Test rakers Man Score | 51.37 | $\begin{array}{r}46,468 \\ \hline 54\end{array}$ | 543 | 544 | 551 | 546 |
| Std. Dev. | 113 | 112 | 113 | 111 | 109 | 107 |
|  |  |  | $\wedge$ |  |  |  |
| CHEMIS STRY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test Takers | 47,759 | 36,521 | 34,294 | 35,007 | 34,473 | 34,494 |
| Maan Score | 568 | 581 | 567 | 577 | 573 | 571 |
| Sta. Dev. | 108 | 110 | 104 | 102 | 103 | 201 |
| PHYSICS 150907 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Score | N/A | N/A | 592 | 591 | 592 | 595 |
| std. Dev. | N/A | N/A | 103 | 106 | 100 | 101 |

SOURCE: The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1981; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. Now York: 198C, The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1978: The College Board. Admissions Tasting Program Reports. New York: 1976; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1974; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Repozts. New York: 1972.

There are no readily available general indicators of science achievenent Eor Califarnia high school students. The California Assessment program does not include an examination of science achievement and no other available data sources include science performance information.

At the collage-bound leval, there are several sources, but none pemit generalized conclusions. The ACT scores in naturai science have been relatively stable for college-bound students who take the NCT, with mean scores fluctuating between 20.5 and 21.1 . The trand on The College Boardi biology achievement test is upward, from $521^{*}$ in 1976 to 535 in 1981. Eit the number of sturents taking the exam dropped off considerably, from 6,683 in 1976 to 1,883 in 1981 (which may be a useful indicator of a decline in science arhlevement). The trend for the physics test, which $2 s$ taken annually by some 800 California twelfth graders, is generaily downward. Mean scores in chemistry, taken by some 1,500 Californians, Eluctuate considerably.

## ,

3. Sumary: The quantitative and Science Skills of Califórnia Students

During the early seventies, Califormia scores on twelfth grade mathematics achievement tests declined siightly. The decilne continued through 1976-77, when average scores fiattened out, then moved generaliy upward again.

Like most students pationally，California students tend to have the most difficulty with more complex skills，including algebra and multiple－step computations．Even these skill areas，however，improved somewhat between 1977 and 1980.

Most available measures of comparison suggest that California students perform at or somewhat balow the national average in mathematics．Scores from the $⿴ 囗 ⿱ 一 一 廾 刂$ gh school and Beyond test of mathematics place California students slightly above the national average，and the average score for a California studenc on the sAT－Math was 474．as contrasted with a national average of 467.

Nationally，the trend in science achievement is downard，and at a higher rate for physical sciences than for natural sciences．California information is too limited to pexmit confilent generalizations．


## MASTERY OF OTHER INTELLECTUAL AND LIFE SKILIS

Although few question the responsibility of the nation's schools to prepare their students for aspects of adulthood beyond college or work, relatively little has been done to measure student knowledge in areas outside of the verbal and quantitative skills. In general, there is little standardized testing in such subjects as history, art appreciation, and social studies. Similarly, non-academic skills such as informed voter participation, civic advocacy, general information acquisition, critical thinking, consumer protection, and health awareness are rarely measured. This section probes available sources of information in these areas, relying heavily on data from the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

1. Social Studies/Civic Knokiledge aná Yarticipation

NASP assessed citizenship for the first time in 1969-70, and then reassessed it in 1975-76 along with a second assessment of social stisdies (first conducted in 1971-72). Upon comparing these examinations, NAEP Found declines in political knowledge and attitudes among 17 -year-olds. The ability to explain the basic concept of democracy aropped by more than 10 percentage points, and fewer than half of the 17 -year-olds in the second assessment could name either of their Congressmen or one of their Senatorg. One out of five 17 -year-olds did not know that a Senator is elected to office.

NAEP found declines in the area of respect for others, although the percentage of students exhibiting racial tolerance increased. Also, despite the extension of voting rights to 18-yearrolds, the examiners found a decine in the civic participation rate. They also found a decrease in the proportion of students who either felt they could influence local government or suggest some method for doing so.

Data on the college-bound population provide somewhat conflicting information on social studies knowledge. Like NAsP, the American College Testing Program, which tests all its students in social studies, reports declines between 1970 and 1981, from a mean score of 19.4 to 17.2. On the other hand, scores on The College Board American History achievement test, taken each year by some 20,000 college-bound Califiornians and 54,000 students nationally, have increased slightiy. This, however, is a highly able and self-gelected subgroup of the general 5AT-taking population.

## 2. Art, Music, and Aesthetic Appreciation

During the seventies, NAEP conducted two assessments of art and music skills and knowledge among young Americans. Between the two art assessments (1974 and 1979), the proportion of teenagers pursuing artistic activities deciined. So, too, did the proportion of students who valued art and tolerance for unconventional art forms. particularly large drops occurred in knowledge about art, even among students who had taken 4-6 art courses and who possessed superior design skills. Art educators reviewing the results were distressed by the finding that 45 percent of the 17 -year-oids had elther never been to an art museum or had been only once.

NAEP assessed music-related skills and knowledge in 1970-71 and in 1978-79. Between the two assessments, the performance of 17-year-olds declined by 2.5 percent. Fewer 17 -year-olds in the second assessment successfully answered questions requiring knowledge of the elements and expressive controls of music (4.9 percent decline). Although knowledge about music history and style did not decline, the examiners found a low level of knowledge in this area among those tested (mean score of 39 percent correct). Thev did find, however, that most student. (75 percent) have positive feelings about music and appear able to make simple judgments about it.

## 3. Critical Thinking Skills

Several recent NAEP assessments have brought into question the ability of voung Americans to think critically-to analyze pieces of 1iterature, to explain their views on a subject, or to disprove Eilse claims. The results from the $1979-80$ assessment of reading and 0 literature are a case in point: while teenagers are generaliy able to read a variety of materials and express initial judgments about what they read, very few were able choose an effective strategy to explain their judgments. The "overwhelming majority of students lacked strategies for analyzing or evaluating in the interest of deepening their understanding of what they read" (National Assessment of Educational Progress, November 1981). In general, students had much less difficulty with multiple-choice items than with open-ended questions. Coupled with the decinnes in inferential comprehension seen for 17-year-olds, these results may signify an erosion in older teenagers' thinking and evaluative skills.
4. Personal and Family Maintenance: Health, Recreation, and Consumer Skills

Parents and citizens disagree about which particular social or family-related skills should be taught in school and which should be left to the family. But most people agree that certain basic knowledge areas, including health, recreation, and consumer skills, should be covered in school. Three recent NAEP surveys suggest that young people have problems in these areas.

NAEP assessed consumer skills in 1978. In general, the 17-year olds who were tested appeared to be well-acquainted with the use of bank accounts, making purchases, and constructing simple budgets. The results in areas like economics and consumer protection, however, "suggest that \$7-year-olds remain inadequately prepared for their roles as consumers in Iight of the demands soon to be made on them." Although students are becoming more sophisticated in knowing the questions they need to ask as consumers, they "still appear not to have a sufficient level of knowledge to transact effectively in the American marketplace" (National Assessment of Educational Progress, undatad).

NABP tested young people's knowledge about energy in 1977. The examiners found that young adults were generally familiar with energy-related terminology and some practical conservation techniques. Most were aware of the problems energy shortages might cause in the future and were also aware that the position of the United States was not unique. However, over half of the young adults reported that they usually use a car to travel half a mile or less and most doubted that they could influence government or industry with regard to energy problems (National Assessment of Educational Progress, undated).

The NAEP examination of health awareness uncovered potentially serious gaps in the health and emergency medicsl knowledge of young people. Between 30 and 40 percent of the young people did not know where to apply pressure to control severe arterial bleeding on an arm or leg; half did not know that a room must be ventilated when using a gas space heater: one third did not know which of four common household subst inces would put out a grease fire; and less than half knew how to treat a severe burn. While most understood besic nutritional concepts, many did not understand the potential dangers of severe obesity during the teen years. Oniy 62 percent could read and intexpret a themometer correctiy (National Assessment of Educational Progress, December 1981).

## 5. Stmonary: Life Skilis

Information on mastery of life skills by young fmericans is insufficient to permit confident conclusions. Information available from NAEP does, however, suggest several troubling trends. specifically, NAEP results depict recent high school seniors as less knowledgeable about governmental and political affairs, less respectful of others, and less knowledgeable about art and music than their predecessors in the early seventies. In addition, NAEP EOUnd significant gaps in the health, energy and consumer-related knowledge of 17-year-old Americans. Perhaps most disturbing, however, was the finding in several NAEP assessments that the ability of young Americans to think criticaliy-to analyze or evaluate what they hear or read-has deciined.

## CRAPTER VI

## SOME SUBGROUP DATA

It is difficult to interpret the meaning of test score decinnes in California over the past two decades, aince the composition of the state's high school population has changed considerably during that period. This chapter examines available data pertinent to how the changing composition of Californda's high schsol students might have affected test acore decline. ${ }^{1}$

## 1. Changes in Eigh School Senior Population

The current population of high school seniors is considarably more diverse racially than graduating classes in the late sixties and early seventies. Table VI-1 provides comparative information on the ethnic makeup of the senior classes of 1973 and 1979-90. As shown in this table, ethnic minorities comprise a larger proportion of the later senior class.

As shown in Table Y-2, ethnic minority students comprise Increasingly large fractions of the total stadent population as grade levels decrease. In the 1980 kindergarten class, minority students comprise 47.33 percent of the total student population; in grade 12, minority students comprise only 31.95 percent of the total.

Irhis study is limited to secondary analysis of available data. It is possible to do original data analysis that would separate some effects of an altered test population from the effects of lower achievement levels. However, it was beyond the scope of this study to conduc: the appropriate analysis.

TAEME VI-1

THELFNH GRADE ENROLINENT, CALIFORNIA PUELIC SCHDOLS
1973 and 1979-80

| MINORITY | 1973 | 1979-80 | $\begin{gathered} \text { PERCENTAGE } \\ \text { CHANGE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian/Alasican | 0.4 | . 81 | +102 |
| Asian/ Pacific Islander | 3.1 | 4.50 | +45 |
| FHlipino | unknown | 1.26 | N/A |
| Black | 7.9 | 9.58 | +21 |
| Hispanic | 12.7 | 15.80 | +24 |
| TOTAL MINORITY | 24.1 | 31.95 | +33 |

SOURCE: California State Department nf Bducation. Pacial and Ethnic Distribution of Staff and Students. In California Public Schoo1s. 1979-80. Sacramento: 1981.

## TABLE VI-2 <br> ENRCLLMET IN CALIFORNIA-PUELIC SCHOOLS

By Grade Level and Racial Group ${ }^{1}$

| GRADE LEVEL | RACIAL GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | 0.66 | 4.18 | 1.66 | 9.21 | 52.77 | 31.53 |
| 6 | 1.07 | 4.25 | 1.52 | 9.98 | 60.19 | 23.00 |
| 12 | 0.81 | 4.50. | 1.26 | 9.58 | 68.05 | 15.8. |

$1_{\text {Racial }}$ Group:
$A=$ American Indian/Alaska Native
$B=$ AsianPacific Islander
$C=$ Filipino
$D=B l a c j$
$E=$ Whi:
$P=H L$ spanic

SOURCE: California State Department of Education. Racial and Ethnic Distribution of Staff and Students. In California public Schoo1s, 1979-80. Sacramento: 1981.

Profections for language minority groups show similar increases. Table vI-3 providas projections, by aga group, for various language groups. There have been othar changes in senior class composition during the past 10 to 20 years, such as an increased number of students from single parent homes.

The panel of experts advising this study agreed that changas in the composition of the $V$ airious test populations have contributed to changes in average test scores. They also concluded that the major score declines of the seventies were too big, and too pervasive across different student achievement levels, to be simply the result of compositional changes.

Although specific analygis of compositional effects are beyond the scope of this report, available data discussed below point to some trends pertinent to such an analysis.
2. Achlevament Patterns Eor Students of Different Racial or Ethnic Backgrounds

Virtualiy all of the many analyses of academic achievement patterns during the past two decades have documented lower average levels of achlevement among minority group students (excluding students of Asian ancestry) than among the majority population. James Coleman, in his 1965-66 study of equal educational opportunity, reported that tweirth grade achievement score averages for blacks were "about one standard deviation bslow those of. . .whites, which means about 85 percent of the (black) scores are below the white average" (Coleman, 1967). In 1977 the Wirtz Commission reported a comparable picture for the SAT, with black students estimated to average approximately 100 points below the overall

TABLE VI－ 3
PROJECTIONS OF THE NON－OR LIMITED－ENGLISH SPEAKING POPULATION
California



PROJECTIONS GIVEN IN 1000 ＇s OF INDIUIDUALS
SOURCE：Macias，Reynaldo．＂Projections of the NELB Population for Contiguous Age Groups．＂Unpublished．Los Angeles：University of Southern California，1982．
mean score on the Verbal and about 115 points lower on the Mathematical part of the SAT. A California-specific study conducted by the University , of California in 1978 documented disproportionately low rates of postsecondary eligibility attainment for black and Chicano students: Minority high school graduates achieved eligibility to attend one of California's public four-year universities at only one-third to cne-half the rate of white high school graduates.

There are many signs, however, that the magnitude of the gap between minority and white students is decreasing slowly-at least on the national level (Burton and Jones, 1982). In most subject area assessments conducted by NAEP, minority students improved their position slightly relative to the national average. Por example, on the 1979 writing assessment, the differences between 17 -year-old black and white students "narrowed on all but one of the writing: tasks." over the three assessments of reading, black 9- and 13 -year-olds made some gains in literal and inferential comprehension and in reference skills. In social studies, "Hispanics. . .decline less than the entire group of 17-year-old students." In mathematics, "Black students at ages 9 and 13 performed closer to the national level in the 1978 assessment than they did in 1973." But the progress is uneven: In one subject, 9 and 13 year-olds progress relative to the national average, while 17 -year-olds hold their ground; in another, the situation is reversed. ${ }^{1}$

[^6]On the college-bound level, a racent release from The Collage Board Indicates that gains by blacks and other minority students on the 1982 Scholastic Aptitude Tast were laxgely cesponsible for the first increase in the national average scores in nineteen years. Between 1981 and 1982, blade students' scores rose by an average of nine polnts on the verbal exam and four points on the quantitative exam; whites gainud two points on the verbal and nothing on the quantitative. However, minority studants atill trailed their white counterparts by a considerable margin. Current dita are sumarized in Table VI-4.

Unfortunately, the major source of data on Callfornia high school seniors does not include ethaic indentifiers. Thus, it is not directly possible to trace changes over time in the achiaveraent ievels of California students from different racial groups or to compare progress in California with that at the national level.
3. Achievement Patterns for Studants with Different Pamily Backgrounds (Income and Parental Education)

In general, students whose parents are either low-income or not highly educated do not score as well as their mory advantagea peerg on gtandardized tests and other measures of achievement. Like ethnic minority students, however, these students appear to be gaining some ground relative to the norm. NAEP reports, for example, suggest that students from the "disadvantaged-urban group" are, registering gains in most subject areas.

In California, information on parental education levels has been collected as a part of CAP for three yaara. As shown in Table VI-5, Survey of Basic skills scores are directly related to the level of


Nationa1, 1982

|  | VEREAL | QUANTITATIVE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 391 | 425 |
| American Indians | 397 | 513 |
| Asian Amaricans | 361 | 396 |
| Mainland Preato Ricans | 373 | 415 |
| Mexican Americans | 332 | 362 |
| Blacks | 442 | 483 |
| Whites | 424 | 466 |
| National Average |  |  |

SOURCE: San Francisco Chronicle. "How Race, Income Figure in SAT Scores." San Francisco: October 5, 1982.
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## TABLE VI-5

CALIFORNIA SURVEY OR GASIC SKILLS: GRADE TWELVE SCORES FOR FEADING AND MRTHEMATICS
By Parental Educational Level, 1978-79 Through 1980-81 ${ }^{1}$

| Highest eduration level of student's parent | Percent of Students |  |  | reading |  |  |  |  | Nathematica |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Averace Scores |  |  | Changes |  | Average scores |  |  | Changes |  |
|  | 1978-79 | 1979-80 | 1980-81 | 1978-79 | 1979-80 | 1980-81 | $\begin{gathered} 1976-79 \\ t 0 \\ 1999-80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1979-80 \\ t 0 \\ 1980-81 \end{gathered}$ | 8978-79 | 1979-80 | 1980-81 | $\begin{gathered} 1978-79 \\ 60 \\ 1979-80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1979-80 \\ \text { to } \\ 1980-81 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| State cotal | 100.0* | 100.0* | 100.0* | 63.2 | 63.1 | 63.4 | -0.1 | +0.3 | 66.5 | 66.8 | 68.0 | +0.3 | +1.2 |
| Advanced degree | 16.4 | 17.1 | 17.4 | 70.1 | 69.8 | 69,9 | -0.3 | +0.1 | 74.7 | 75.0 | 75.7 | +0.3 | +0.7 |
| \% pour-year college | 18.0 | 18.4 | 18.8 | 67.2 | 66.9 | 67.1 | -0.3 | 10.2 | 71.5 | 71.7 | 72.6 | +0.2 | +0.9 |
| Some college | 26.6 | 26.8 | 27.1 | 64.6 | 64.5 | 64.6 | -0.1 | +0.1 | 67.3 | 67.8 | 68.6 | +0.5 | +0.8 |
| High sctool graduate | 26.0 - | 24.9 | 23.9 | 59.4 | 59.3 | 59.7 | -0.1 | +0.4 | 61.5 | 61.9 | 63.3 | +0.4 | +1.4 |
| Not a high school graduate | 11.3 | $11.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 10.9 | 53.5 . | 53.5 | 54.1 | -0- | +1.4 | 55.9 | 56.7 | 58.3 | +0.8 | +1.6 |

$1_{\text {Includes }} 1.7$ percent non-response in $1978-79$, 1.8 percent in 1979-80, and 1.8 percent in 1980-81.

SOURCE: California Assessment Program. Student Achievement in California Schools: Annual Report, 1981. Sacramento: State Department of Education, 1981.
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parental education: In 1979, Etudents whose parents had advanced degrees averaged 70.1 in reading and 74.7 in mathematics; students whose parents did not graduate from high school scored 16.6 points lower in reading and 18.8 points lower in mathematics. By 1981, however, these differences had nadrowed slightly to 15.8 points in reading and 17.4 points in math. CAP also collects information on parental occupations, but only for students in grades 3 and 6 . A review of these data, however, siso shows a clear link between the parent's occupation and the child's achievement level. As with parental education levels, however, the gap between students from families at the top of the occupational lader and those at the bottom narrowed slightly during the past several years.
4. Achdevement Patterns for Students In the Lorsst and Highest Achievement Daciles

Trends in mean scores do not, of course, tell the whole story about academic achievement trends. For this reason, it is useful to observe any unusual patterns at the high and low ands of the achievement spectrum. General information on apparent trends is presented for each group below.

## a. Low Achieving Students

Virtually all sources of data on trends in student performance agree that no group has made as much progress as the low achieving students during the past $5-10$ years. On assessments suggesting a deciine in student achievement, the deciines have generally been smaller at the low end of the achievement scale. On assessments showing increases in student achievement, the low-end students have generally increased at a higher rate than other students.

California Assesment Program data also show this trend. At most levels tested, low achieving students have inproved their scores relative to those of middle and high achieving students. Table VI-6 provides percantile score information for the grade twalve math tests administered between 1975-76 and 1979-80. Students in each of the bottom four deciles improved their sccres over this fiveryear period; scores for the remaining group declined. Similaxly, the decline in twelfth grade reading scores between 1975-76 and 1979-80 was smaller for the lowest 10 percent of the students than for any other group except the highest decile.

## b. Eigh Achieving Students

Several recent reviews of performance data suggest that the better students in our schools are showing the greatest score decilnes (Borkow, 1982; University of California, 1981). Most of the data reviewed for this report support this conclusion, but not without leaving some room for doubt.

On the national level, the National Assessment of Educational Progress has reported disproportionate declines among high achieving students in reading, literatwre, and writing. In general, students had the most difficulty with tests of higher-level skills. Performance in most rudimentary skills areas has increased, but these gains have not carried over into higher level skills.

This trend 1 s consistent with achievement trends for the college-bound population. In general, the decilnes on the major college admissions tests have been gieater than declines on tests administered to all high school seniors (Cleary and McCandless, 1976).

SURVEY OF BASIC SKILLS
PERCENT CORPECT AT SELECTED STUDENT PERCENTILE RANK POINTS
Grade Twelva-lyath

|  | YEAR OF TESTING |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERCENTIIE | 1975-76 | 1976-77 | 2477-78 | 1978-79 | 1979-80 | OVERALI <br> CHANGE 1375-76 <br> TO 1979-80 |
| 90 | 93.9 | 93.7 | 93.8 | 93.6 | 93.7 | -0.2 |
| 80 | 86.5 | 86.2 | 86.5 | 86.3 | 86.4 | -0.1 |
| 70 | 80.4 | 79.9 | 80.2 | 80.0 | 80.2 | -0.2 |
| 60 | 74.4 | 73.8 | 74.1 | 73.9 | 74.2 | -0.2 |
| 50 | 68.5 | 67.8 | 68.1 | 68.0 | 68.3 | -0.2 |
| 40 | 62.1 | 61.5 | 61.8 | 61.8 | 62.3 | 0.2 |
| 30 | 55.4 | 54.8 | 55.1 | 55.2 | 55.7 | 0.3 |
| 20 | 47.6 | 47.0 | 47.4 | 47.6 | 48.2 | 0.6 |
| 10 | 37.1 | 36.6 | 37.0 | 37.4 | 37.8 | 0.7 |

SOURCE: California state Department of Education. "California Survey of Besic skills: Percentage Correct at Selected Student Percentage Rate Foints." Unpublished. Sacramento: 1981.

At the highest skill levels, SAT results for the national population suggest a decline in the number of top-ievel scores on both tests. In 1972, for example, some 11 percent of the test takers compiled scores of 600 or better on the verbal tent; by 1981, only 7 percent had $600+$ acores. In raw numbers, some 116,000 graduates scored above 600 in 1972; only some 70,000 students scored at this level in 1981. similariy, in mathematics, some 16 percent of the test takers in 1972 achieved scores of 600 or better; by 1981, only 14 pericent had $600+$ scores.

The Wirtz Commission carefully reviewed these figurr s and concluded that the decreases in the upper achiovement areas were no greator than those at other levels. Thus, thase declines were interpreted to reflect the pervasiveness of the score decline phenomenon, rather than extraordinary declines at the top.

Within Callfornia, CaP data on the Survey of Basic Skills test of reading show smaller declines in the top two deciles than in all otner deciles (except the bottom decile noted eariler). On the Survey of Basic skills mathematics test, on the other hand, the scores of students in all of the top five deciles decilned, whereas those below the zean increased. In general, thon, it appears that total performance of high scoring students in California declined at a greater rate than that of low scoring students, but the difference was not substantial. However, the score deciines of collegembound students is significantly greater than the declines for the total high chool population.

At the higher and of the achievement scale the trend is similar. As can be seen in rabla VI-7, the proportion of Callfornia test takers receiving scores of 600 or better on the SAT-Math declined from 16.11 to 15.73 percent between 1976 and 1981, even though the mean SAT-Math score
during that period increased by 5 points. Similarly, the number of $600+$ scores on the sap-Verbal declined Excm 7.95 to 7.26 of the California test takers-but the mean score also decreased (by 4 points) over this time period.

A review of The Collage Board achievement tasts in Bnglish and mathomatics, which genarally maasure more advanced skills than the SAT examinations, cyystallizes the problem-at least in these two skill areas. Table VI-8 provides information on achievement test ecores above 600 in English, Math $I$, and Math II. Tha proportion of California students with test scores of 600 or better decilned between 1976 and 1981. The largest decilnes occurred on the Math I and English Composition tests. (There were, however, some"incresses on other achievement tests.)

This pattern of laxger decilnes at the highest achievement levels is confimed in a recent University of Californsa analysis of metudent preparation. In that report, UC documented aignificantly graater score declines among its new students-athe elite from California high schools--than among Californians generally (Univarsity of California, 1981).

## 5. Differential Achievement Patterns for Male and Pemale Students

Recent NAEP results provide some evidence that the traditional pattern of academic achievement-in which males perform better in quantitative fields and Eemales parform better in verbal fields-may be changing. The 1980 reading assessment shows that wales improved their position on average. The mathematics assessmants also reveal a narrowing

```
                    table vI-7
                    SAT SCORES ABOVE 600
                    California Test Takers, 1976 and 1981
```

|  | SAT- |  | SAT-M |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KBAR | 0 | 8 |  |  |
| 1976 | 8,639 | 7.95 | 17.508 | 16.11 |
| 1981 | 7,272 | 7.26 | 15,752 | 15.73 |

SOURCE: The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1981; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1976.

## TABLE VI-8

TRE COLLEGE BOARD ACHIEVENENT TEST SCORES OVER 600, ENGLISH AND MATH California Test Takers, 1976 and 1981

|  | MATH I |  | MATH II |  | BHGEISH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YRAR | \% | 9 | 4 | 8 | ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 8 |
| 1976 | 6.295 | 31.39 | 3,449 | 80.41 | 8,048 | 26.73 |
| 1981 | 5.672 | 21.71 | 4,502 | 75.91 | 5,635 | 28.65 |

SOUncs: The College Board. Amissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1981; The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. New York: 1976.
triend, with females improving their position. On the other hand, male/famale score differences did not change in writing or science (National Assessment for Educational Progress, various).

Howevar, within the college-bound population, men now outsicore women on all fronts. Since the sixties, men have cutperfomed women in the SAT-Varbal, and they have widened their lead aince then (Barnischfeger and wiley, 1976). In mathematics, SAT score information suggests that mala/female differences are becoming greater. Table VI-9 provides a comparison of SAT acore infomation for male and female test takers nationally between 1972 and 1980.

Since the late seventies, 17-year-old girls gained on the boys in mathematics in California, but etill averaged significantly below them. In reading; boys made steady progress on the girls beginning in 1975-76, with the male average moving ahead of the female average in 1980-81.

Trends within the California college-bound population between 1974 and 1981 were similar to the national population in verbal skills but silightly different in math. Man scored higher than women on the verbal test, and the difference between them increased from 9 points in 1974 to 15 points in 1981. Men also scored higher in mathematics, but their lead decreased silghtly from 55 points to 54.

As noted earlier in this report, California students performed slightly above the national average on the SAT test in 1981. On the average, California men outscored theix national counterparts by 15 points; women outscored their counterparts by 7 points.

| TASLE VI-9 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NRAN SAT SCORES EY SEX |  |  |  |
| National, 1972 and 1981 |  |  |  |
| * |  |  |  |
| YEAR |  | SnT- | SAT-M |
| 1972 | vales | 454 | 505 |
|  | Females | 452 | 461 |
|  | Difference | 2 | 44 |
| 1980 | Males | 428 | 491 |
|  | Fomales | 420 | 443 |
|  | Differanca | 8 | 48 |

SOURCE: The Collage Board. Admisaions Testing Program Reports. New York: 2981, The College Board. Admssions Testing Progra: Reports. New York: 1972.

## 6. Sumany: Outcomes for Selacted Subgroups

Test score decilnes in the seventies way in part have been the result of changes in the composition of Califormia's high school studant population. The number of students Erom racial and language minority groupe has incroased substantially over the last decade, and family seructure and size have also changed. Nevertheless, the test ecore declines were too large and parvasive to be solely the result of these compositional changes.

Minority students, and students from famdlies with low incomes and oducation levels, have achleved considerably lower average test scores than white-Anglo students, both in California and nationally. In Salifornia, substantially Eewer minority than white-Anglo students have been eligible to attend four-year postsecondaly institutions. Nationally, this achievement gap is slowly decreasing.

During the last five to ten years, the greatest impovement in test scores has been among low achieving students, both nationally and in California, whereas high achieviyg students have shown the greatest test score declines.

Test scores have decilned more for women than for men. In tests of verbal skills; incs have on the average recently performed relatively better than females, thereby narrofing the usual male-female gap on these achievement tests. Females did celatively better in mathematice, but male-female differences have not changed in writing or science. For college-bourd students, however, men now score higher than women on all subjects, and this gap appears to be widening. These national differences also hold for California, except for math, where women's scores are not as far behind thoge of men as is the case nationaliy.

## Apprandix A

A DESCRIPTION OF DATA AND INEORMATION SOURCES
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## APRENDIX A

## A DESCRIPTION OF DATA AND INPORNATION SOURCES

This appendix describes the data and information sources that have been used or referred to in this report. In addition, the data-gathering techniques, sample size, and relevant weaknesses of the various data sources are discussed. Although the number of data sources may seem large, these sources do not provide a comprehensive picture of student perfomance. Data are not available to assess many important goals of education.

The statewide data in California in partioular are incomplete. First, longitudinal data on indifialal student parformane are not collected. Thus, inferences about changing levels of achievement are subject to question because of changes in the test-taking population. second, none of the key daca collactions on California stulents eurrentiy pemits analysis by race or socioeconomie status. Thiri, frequent changes in the California Assessmen Program's high school senior test and the lack of an effective means of relating california performance to national noms make trend analysis especialiy difficult. Fourth, students are not examined between grades six and twelve, $s 0$ identification of trouble spots is severely limited. Finally, only limited infomation exists on performance in axeas outside of reading, writing, and mathematics. Data on science achievement or civic knowladge are simpiy not collected.
A. SOURCES OF INFORMATION ON GENERAL GIGH SCHOOL OUTCONES

1. Bigh School Graduation Rates e.

California has no central system for identifying the number of students who drop out before grauluating from high school. Generally, the same 1s erue nationally, There are, however, several sources of relevant information and several formulas frequently used to calculate school anrollment and graduation rates.
a. Bureau of the Census National School Enrollment Pigures

These data are available annually from the Bureau, but do notinclude state bradedowns. The numbers are generally. considered to be somewhat inflated.
b. Comparisons Eetween Total Population Estimates and Actual Public and Private School Enrollment Information

These comparisons are available for. California from the State Department of Finance. Enrollment information is collected by public school officials at the beginning of each school year. It is belleved to underestimate private school enroliment and to overestimate public school enrollment, due to its collection date.
c. Attrition Data

This method contrasts the number of students entering the ninth grade with the number of studants who enter the twelfth grade three years later. It does not account for inor out-mi gration, nor does it-address the matter of students dropping out during grade twelve. However, attrition data can be useful in suggesting trends, and these data are available fo: California and the Onited States.
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d. gstimates of Graduates as a Percentage of i8-Year-0ias

These data axe available Erom the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) for the nation as a whole. In Califormia, data on the numbers of public and private high achool graiuates ara collected annualiy by the State Department of Education; these cân be compared with relevant census data for the state.
e. 1976 Survey of Insome and Education--U.S. Department of Education

This survey was developed in order to correct an apparent mistake in countiing the number of children living in poverty by the 1970 census. It consisted of a random survey of households and was designed to provide reliable state-by-state estimates of the principal activities of all household mombers. The results of this survay are considered the most reliable estimate of school attendance rates; unfortunately, it was a one-time only activity, so data are availabie only \&ox 1976.

## 2. In-School Performance

There is no single source of information on the grades high school students receive over time. Instead, data from the following studies can be combined to provide a general picture of student in-class performance.
a. NCES National Longitudinal Studies--1972 and 1980

The NCES national longitudinal stuales of the high
school senior classes of 1972 and 1980 include
student-reported data on high school grades. Separate
infomation on California respondents is available for the 1980 survey oniy. (See pages A-11 and A-12, below, for a fuller description of the surveys.)
b. Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT)/American College Testing Program (AC2): Student Descriptive Questionnalres

Students who take aither the SAT or the ACT (see Appendix B for more information) are asked to provide information on thelr personal characteristics, high school experiences, and postsecondary plans to the testing gervices. Both firms genarate annual reports summarizing the overall grade-point averages and grade averages in designated subject aress for students taking their tests.
c. California Postsecondary Education Commisgion (CPEC) Eligibility survey

The CPEC periodically assesses the rates at which Califpraia high school graduates attain eligibility to enter the University of California (UC) and the California state University (CSV). If the study turns up any discrepandies between current admission requirements and the "State Master Plan" guideline that vC draw from the upper eighth of high school graduates and CSI from the upper one-third, these institutions are expected to recalibrate their admissions criteria.

Such an eligibility study was zerformed in 1967: A random sample of transcripts from high'school graduates was evaluated by university personnel as if they had been submitted by applicants. The following year, admissions standards in the two institutions were modified to conform
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with the Master plan. A second study, this time combined with an extensive survey effort to obtain information on the high school and post-high school activities of 1975 high school graduates, was conducted during 1976-77 using the class of 1975. Some $10,000 \mathrm{high}$ school transcxipts were evaluated for this study. Based on the findings, uC and csu admissions standards were modified in 1978. Both studies provide some measure of high school grading practices over time.

## a. Postsecondary Information Systems

Virtually all four-year colleges and some two-year Instituctons keep records of the high school grade averages of their entering students. These are generally not directly comparable over time due to occasional changes in admissions requirements, but do provide some indications of trends. Unfortunately, systemwide data are not always available. Purther, due to differonces in the way grade avarages are computed (e.g., overall, overall-academic, "A-FN grade point average), interinstitutional comparisons or figures combining, for example, all four-year colleges are impossible.

## 3. Postsecondary Participation Rates

a. California College-Going Rates and Comunity College mransfers

Ccllege-going rates are calculated annyally by the CPEC by comparing the number of high school graduates during a given academic year with the number of "first-time" freshmen entering postsecondary education the following tall. These provide a measure both of changes in college-going rates over
time and of changing institutional preferences. This method does not, of course, take delayed attendance patterns into account, nor does it deal with students who attend out-of-state institutions, or with the overestimation problem posed by gtudents who drop out aarly in the first year. Further, at the commanity college level no distinctions are made between students anrolled in a full transfer-oriented curriculum and those enrolled in one art practice course. Also, since one does not need to be a high school graduater to enxoll in a communty college, some non-graduates are included in college enrollment Eigures (California Postsecondary Education Commission, 1981).
b. Beyond High School Graduation: Who Goes to College?

In this follownp survey of the 1975 graduates whose transcripts were evaluated in the CPEC eligibility study (see above), respondents were asked to provide information on college attendance during the $2-1 / 2$ years following high school graduation. Because of an extremely high response rate from high achievers and a low response rate from low achievers, even the weighted estimates from this report may overestimate attendance rates. Also, like the CPEC data, 4
seudents who took only a minimi number of units at a commanty college are includetin the "college-going" populations. The information is useful, though, in that it doesn't include only those students who went directly on to college and also because it includes data on students opting to attend vocational schools and out-of-state colleges.
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The NCES National Longitudinal Study of the Class of 1972 has periodically quizzed its participants about their postsacondary educational activities. Data are available on the full national sample. The sample for the newer 1980 study, in which California students are identified separately, has yet to be eurveyed rogarding actual college attendance patterns. The original survey did, however, include infomation on college plans (aee Appendix B).
4. Pexfomance in Postsecondary gducation

In general, available data on postescondary performance only include grades raceived during the first yoax. These data are not avallable for other the state or the nation as a whole. a. Postsecondary Scholarshig/Performance Reports

The University of California has kept centrailzed information on the first year grades of now freshmen for many years. These are available for each school sending graduates to the university and for the state as a whole, and are sent to principais and superintendents annualiy: The oniversity also calculates a "grade point differential" that compares the high school grade avarage in the so-called "A-F subjects" with the Eirst year grada avarage at the tniversity. The California state University system has just completed its first centralized student performance report this year. It. contains information similar to that provided by UC, and is expected to be generated annualiy.
5. Youth Bmployment
a. United States Department of Iabor Data

The United States Department of Lnbor produnes statistical informstion on employment rates by age and educational level. These are available for the nation and for california.
b. California Employment Development Department (EDD) Data

The mtate EDD generates special analyses of California amployment data. In 1980, a study of youth employment was completed. In-depth studies of trends in hlgh technology and other specialized tields have also been generated.

## 日. ACADEMIC SKILLS

1. International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA)

The IEA studies, which inciude an assessment of mathematics achievement during the mid-sixties; and an essessment of science, reading comprehension, literature, civic education, French as a foreign language, and inglish as a foraign langrage during the early seventies; represent the only comprehensive source of multinational student achievement information. The tests were developed by international subject matter committees, pretested In at least 4 countries, and administered to sample populations in 22 countries. Participating countries generally tested 3 specific student populations (full-time students age 10-10.11, full-time students sge 14-14.11, full-time students in the final year of secondary education) and a fourth population of their own
choosing. The thited states tested a stratifled sample of approximately 3 : 0 ûu gtudents per age group in each subject araay the results wiexe extensively walghted due to significant differances betwean denlyned and achievid mamplen (Wolf, 1977). International comparisons of student achievement, paxtioularly those dealing with the final year of secondaxy education, are Eraught with number of problems. Pirst, there are apparently signtficant differences among countries in the amount cf gressing on tests of this sort. The IEA researchers dealt with this problam by amploying a formula to correct tor gressing. sacond, due to differing aggs for the start of formal education and differant ourrioular structures, students of similar ages do not necessarily have similar guantitias of schooling. IEA rasults have not been adjusted for these differences.

Pinally, because schooling is in no case coupulsory during the final year of secondary education, the results for these populations must be interpreted with care. The onited States, for example, retained some 75 percent of the age group in the twelifh grade during the testing period; the equivalent program In England enrolled-some 20 percent of the age grow, in prance 29 percent, in Germany 9 percent, and in sweden 05 percent. In order to produce resulte for more neaxly comparable populations, IEA researchers (see, for example, Husen, 1979; Wolf, 1977) have isolated data on the relative performance of the top 9 percent of the student population (and, in some cases, of the top 4 percent and 1 percent as well). The 9 percent figure was selected
because Gemany, the participating country with the leaat number of young people in its fing year of secondary education, had a 9 percent "holding power." while selection factors undoubtedly still have some influence hare, these data are more useful for most purposes than the gross numbers.
2. The National Assegement of Educational Progress (NAEP)

NAEP is a federally-funded program-operated under the aegis of the rducation Commission of the states--to assess and report on national trends in student achiercment. Governed by an independent Assessment policy Comittee, and draving haavily on the knowledge and skills of professional educators, NAEP (1) identifies educational objactives generally accepted by schools, scholars, and eltizens; (2) develops questions or "exarcises" to measure the extent to which these objectives are being achiaved; and (3) administers the exercises to people selected through stratified probability sampling procedures. The assessments nomally focus on 9-, 23- and 17-year-olds, although other popralations are testedion occasion.
sach assessment, which covers one or two (fearning areas, includes 60,000-90,000 test takers, although ndt all complete the same test booklets. NASP is required by law to test performance in reading, mathomatics, and writing every five years. Periodic testing has also been conducted in science, soctal studies/citizenship, literature, music, ait, and career/occupational development. Test information on special
areas like consumer skills and haalth knowledge is also reported. Assessment information is available as follows:

| Writing: | 1969-70: | 1973-74, 1978-79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathomatics: | 1972-73; | 1977-78 |
| Science: | 1969-70: | 1972-73; 1976-77 |
| Social studias: | 2971-72; | 1975-76 |
| Citizenship: | 1969-70; | 1975-76 |
| Reading: | 1970-71: | 1974-75; 1979-80 |
| Nuelc: | 1971-72; | 1978-79 |
| Are: | 1974-75; | 1978-79 |
| Special Reports: |  |  |
| - Political fenowledge a attitudes: | 1969-76 |  |
| - Health Awaraness: | 19; 7 |  |
| - Consumar skills: | 1978 |  |
| - Adult work Skills: | 1978 |  |

Separate data are available for various ragions of the country, but not for states. Data are also arrayed in ethnic groupings, by socioeconomic characteristics, and for a number of other sthdent characteristics. Reports are particularly useful in providing samples of the types of information or skilis most young people possess. They are also helpful in distinguishing between "rudimentary" and advanced or complex skills within each subject area.
3. NCES National Eongituainal Studies of High School Seniors

## a. The National Longitudinal study of the Aigh School Class of 1972

This is an ongoing profect of the National Center for Education Statistics to monitor the educational, vocational, and personal development of 1972 high school sentors across the nation. The initial study asked some 19,000 students to complate a questionnaire about their experiences during high school and their plans for the Euture, and to take a

69-minute test bpttery $(16,683$ compliea). Additional
informstion was dollected fram school administratorg. In 1973-74-FCES conducted the first EOllowup survey of . participants in the initial study (15,635 of the originals. took part, as well tis some 5,715 othars, gome of whom were added to the sample) a second Sollownp survey was administered in 1974-75, a third in 1976-77, and a fourth in 1979-80. Each of thase focused on ppst-school activities, especially those ralated to collage and work.

Data from the original study and from the followup surveys are avadlable for general use and have served as a basis for constderable research. As of 1981, some 320 reports using this data had been identified by Noes (Taylor, ot al., 1981). (Although Californians can be identified in the full population, concerns about the representativeness of the state sample have precluded analysis of the data on this basis.
b. High School and Beyond (ES G B): A National Lougitudinal Study Eor the 1980 s

HS \& $B$ is the second in a program of longitudinal studies under the sponsorship of NCES. The study design seeks to collect essentially the same type of data as were collected by the first study, with two exceptions. First, HS \& B addresses olements bit the educational process not covered in the ftrst study, including parents' aspirations for their children and teacher assessments of participating students. Second, in an effort to mpermit an estimation of
learning and of school effects in the last two years of high school.". high school mophomores were added to the sample and w111 be metested and survayed in 1982 (NCES, 1981).

HS a data are available for participating otudents from Californiaa scme 2,400 California high school saniors in 102 pubitic bigh schools participated in the base year study (along with teachers and administrative parsonne 1). This mample was subjected to careful scrutiny by the California state Department of sducation and was determined to be reasonably representative.

The oognitive tast results are the most usatul for establishing academic skill levels. The test used in is of $B$ for the 28,000 -member senior cohort was kept Messentially the same as the 1972 senior battery" (Heyns and Hilton, 1982), but was shortened somewhat to make way for additional items. The test for the 30,000 -member sophomore cohort was designed to be more sensitive to cognitive growth, particulariy when addressing material likely to be covered in meisool rather than general ability massures. Both test batteries are really a collection of subtests, each includad for a different purpose (Heyps and Hilton, 1982). The reliability and validity of the test data appear adequate for general purposes.

As of this time only preliminary analyses comparing the results of the reading and vocabulary tests in 1972 and 1980 are available from NCES, It is anticipated that these will
be publishad within the gear. No comparison of performance
in mathomatics is yet available.
4. Commoniy usad student' achidvanont tests, incluaing the Iowa Tests of Educational Devolormant (ITED), the Test of Acadomic Progress (TAP), the Sempentlal rests of Educational Progress (STEP), and the Comprohensive resce of seasio skills (CTBS)

Several etudies are available tracing student scores on various adminibtrations of the above tests (sed Cleary and MoCandless, 1976; Barnischferger and Wiley, 1975; Borkow, 1982). additional information is available trom the publishers of the tests.
5. College Aptitude rests, Including the Scholastic Aptitude rest (SAT); the American Collage Test (ACT), nad Tho Colloge Board's Achiovemant Tests
a. The Scholastic Aptitude Test

The SAT was designed during the 1920 s to assist colleges. in evaluating the extent to which their applicants for admission were prepared to undertake college-level work. Scores on the exam are generally used to supplement high school grades and other admissions criterin employed by selective institutions in choosing their freshman classes. As a general rule, high fohool grades are considexed to be the best predictor of college performance, but the addition of SAT scores to grade-based admissions criteria ordinarily improves their predictive valne.

Nearly one milition high school seniors take the SAT each year. The test takers represent approximately onequarter of theit age group, and nearly one-third of the high school
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graduate population. About half of the students bound for college take the SA2, with students anticipating entering a four-year ingtitution dinproportionately represented.

The sat includes both Vexbal and mathomatics sections, each of which is scored on a scale from 200 to 800 . Acconding to a recent report, the Nathamatics section of the test "requires as background mathamatics typically taught in grades one through nine.". Howevar, the test is beliered to measure reasoning and problem-solving abilities rather than Eormal knowledge (The College Board, 1981). The Verbal section is designed to assess reading skills and understanding of word relationships. Four areas are covered: antonym, analogies, sentence completion, and reading comprehension. Results in these areas are combined to produce varbal subscoreis in reading and vocabulary. since 1975, a hest of Standard Written English (TSWE) has also been included as a part of the SAT battery.

It is important to note that the SAT does not measure the general effectiveness of secondary schools, nor does it measure the 'achtevement of secondary school students. Rather, scores reflect the praparation of many collage-bound students to perform college-level work. qest items are formulated to predict academic grades in college, particulariy those acquired during the freshmen year (wirtz, 1977).

Between 1975 and 1977, the SAT was subjected to careful sctutiny by a blue-ribbon panel appointed to examine declining scores. The panel concluded that the declines could not be axplained by changes in the testipver the years or in the mathods of scoring. On the contrafy, the mamers concluded that the "EMS [Sducational Testing Service] procedurse for 'equating" successive editions of the test. . and for checking against 'item obsolesoence" are as sophisticated and reliable as the state of the psyohomatric art permits." They also found that, if anything, score acaling may have drifted upward over the past years by 8 to 12 points. Thus, Yecorded declines may actually understate the decraase in college aptitude (Wixtz, 1977). In addition to test score data, The College Board reports also inciude extensive information from the Student Descriptive questionnaires completed by test takers. Thls information is available for the nation as a whole and for each state separately. See Appendix $B$ for an analysis of test scores over time and for information on the test-taking populations. A significantiy larger fyaction of the California graduacing class takes the SAT than do so in many other states, so scores must be interprated accordingly. b. The American College Testing Program (ACT)

Although not as widely used as SAT, the ACT batcery is also used by many colleges to assegs the ability of their applicants to perform college-level work. Some 70,000-85,000 students have taken the ACT duxing each of the past seven
years (American College reating Program, 1980-1981). The ACT includes four sections, each of which is scored separately from 1 to 36 points. These sections are: English, Mathematigg, Social Studies, and Natural Science. Composite scores are also presented.

Becsuse the ACT test-taking population is not geographically balanced (states in the Northeast and mid-Atlantic regions, usually among the highest scoring, are under represented), national noms for the test may be skewed (American College Testing Program, 1980-1981). Otherwiss, there is a fairly high correlation between scores on the ACT: and scores on the SAT: Both are designed not as indices of intelilgence or achievement, but rather of preparedness to perform college-level scademic tasks.
c. The College Board Achlevement Tests.

The College Board offers 14 one-hour achievement tests in specific subject aras, including Mathematics I and II, English Composition, American History, European Histcry, Latin, Hebrew, Aussian, French, Geman, Spanish, Biology, Physics and Chemistry. Sone colleges and universities require applicants to submit scores from one or more achievement tests (typically three). These may be selected by the stident; however, many colleges require applicants to take the Engligh Composition test, for it is often used for placement in writing courses. Other ingtitutions require no achievement tests, limiting their use of test scores to the SAT.

Students who take the achievement tests are generaliy of above-average ability. Scme $175,000 \mathrm{high}$ school seniors took the English Composition test and the Mathomatics Level I exam last yaar; considerably maller numbers take the remaining tests. Use of the achievement tests has declined during the pagt 10 yaars (Wirts, 1977).

The achiavement tests are ganarally considerad to test higher level skills than the college aptitude tests. The tests are put together by committees and experts normaliy drawn from the teaching protession in each field.

The special panel that investigated SAT declines in 1975-77 found, but did not resolva, an interesting conflict between SAT and achievement test scores in certain fields. Specifically, it found that while national scores on the English, French, Spanish, Biology, Chemistry, and Physics tests increased between 1967 and 1976, the SAT-Verbal score average for the students taking these tests declined. The panel speculated about various causes, including aiffering levels of "relevance" between the two sets of examinations.

Score reports are available for aach achievement test, on the national and state populations separately. However, as is probed in greater detail in Appendix B, the proportion. of the gradiating popilations taking the tests differs greatly, so scores axe not easily comparable.

The California Assessment Program is the only source of information on the acadamic achievement of the general student population within the California public schools. It annually tests all third, sixth, and twolf th grade public school gtudents in reading, written language, and mathomatics; students in grades six and twelve axe also tested in speliing.

In the earilest years of the assessment program (it dates back to 1961), districts were required to test students in grades 5, 8, and 11 but were allowed to choose from a list of commarcially available testa. Then, in 1965, the program was changed to require that a single standardized test be administered to students in grades $1,2,3,6$, and 10; due to dissatisfaction, the tests were changed in 1969. In 1972, the state moved to design its own tests, and administered them beginning in 1975.

Although the results of the California exam, called the Survey of Basic skills (SBS), are "equated" with norms or tests published elsewhere via a complex process, this frequent changing of tests makes tracing student performance difficult for any period other than 1975 to the present. Some gross comparisons of student performance in Cailfornia and nationally are, however, possible for individual years. These are ordinarily included in the California State Department of Education's annual report on "Student Achievement in California Schools."

The Grade 12 SBS uses matrix sampling, a process by which a lengthy tast is developed (in this case over 1,000 quastions) and items are divided among 10 to 12 alternate forms of the test booklet. These booklets are then administered to differant students within a class and the rasules aggragated at the school and digtrict lavels. The results are considered accurate at these levels, but individual pupil achievement scores are not, of course, available.

The SBS does not attampt to measure highly couplex or advanced skills. For example, the $58 S$ Grade 12 measures what are considered to be aighth grade level skills. In addition to the four general areas noted above, scores are also available for a number of specific skills. For example, results are available for reading in general, vocabulary, comprehension (literal and interpretive/critical), and study-locational skills. Similarly, writing scores are broken down into seores for word forms, language choices, sentence recognition, sentence manipulation, paxagraphs, capitalization/punctuation, and speling. Annual results are reviewed and reported on by subject-matter assessment advisory comittees.
7. Proficiency Examinations for High School Graduation

Califorma's 1978 pupil proficiency law requires each local school district to adopt skill standards in reading, writing, and computation and to periodically assess student progress in meeting those standards. Students not making sufficient progress coward meeting the district's standards are provided with extra
assistance; those who do not meet district standards by the conclusion of grade twelve are not allowed to graduate (California state Department of Eaucation, 1980).
sach district may develop its own standards and examination, and therefore the tests are not comparable.
8. California High School Proficiency Examination (CHSPE)

Since the mid-seventies, Callfornia students have had the option to "test out" of the last part of high school by achieving a specified score on the CHSPE. Since the number of students taking this exam is mall and has not changed aignificantly (nor has the frass-fail rate), the exam is not likely to have any major impact on achievement test scores.

## C. WORK SRITLS/INFORMATION

1. Organisation for Economic Cooparation and Development (OECD)

The OECD was established in 1960 to promote economic growth and increases in the standard of living within member countries. At present, the OECD has 24 member countries, incluaing the united States.

In racent years, the ozcD has focused a good deal of its attention on education and employment policies. The "youth problem" (high unemployment) has been of particular interest. The various reports of the OECD include considerable information on the presence of young people in the labor market over time, vocational training, and employment status by educational
attainment (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and
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Development, 1977). Some of the data are drawn from special studies; other pieces are compiled using official national data sources.
2. National Assessmant of sducational Progress (NASP)

In addition to its assessments of academic skills (see Section $B$ abovel, NAEP has evaluated the work-related skills of 17-year-olds and young adults across the nation. The 1973-74 reviaw of "careax and occupational development" included measurement in three major areas: comand of basic skilis, knowledge about jobs, and career decision-making skills and knowledge.

As with most NAEP undertakings, the objectives for the examination of vocation-ralated skills were developed by a wide-ranging group of educators and citizens. The data provide a bighly specific picture of the work-related skills of young Americans. Unfortunately, there are no comparative data, for a repeat test has not been administered. Further, this examination was administered before career education prograns had been Integrated into large numbers of schnol curricula, which brings their accuracy in describing today's students into question.
3. The NCES Longitudinal Studies of itigh School Seniors
a. The National Longitudinal Study of the Class of 1972

As described above, NCES has now completed four follownp surveys of the nearly 20,0001972 high schorl graduates included in its National Longitudinal study. Follownp data
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are, therefore, rich in job-related information, particulariy as related to high echool achlevement and educational
attainmant.
Approximstely 30 of the 320 reports using this data base focused on work-related issues, including efforts to identify and examine employment rates, employment levels, and wage differentials. one study looked at the early labor force axperience of non-college bound graduatas: examining the relationships between high school and fob experience (Gxiffen, Kallenberg and Alexander, 1981). Another gtudy analyzed the relationghip between the employment arcivities of the graduates and their academic preparatior., vocational training, and pre-graduation work experiance (Parnes and Rohen, 1976)
b. Eigh School and Beyond (Es \& B): A National Longitudinal Study for the 1980s

Using resuits from the initial survey and test, it is possible to compare the olasses of 1972 and 1980 in some work-related respects. These include pre-graduation work experience, encollment in classes oriented toward work skills and information, employment aspirations, and several other indecators.
4. Armed Forces Data: Axmed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) and Armed Forces qualification Test (AFQr)

Each year, the Department of Defense administers the AsVAB to young people throughout the United States. Many high school seniors take this exam during the final year of school, far more
than the number of otudents actually enlisting. "The test is sdministered to all enlistees except officer candidates.

The RSVAB is used by the military services to determine eligibility for enlistment and qualification for asaigment to epecific military fobs. Four ASVAB subtests are combined to form the (AFQT), which is congidered by the Department of Defense to be a general measure of trainability and the primary criterion of onlistment eligibility (Secratary of Defense, 1982).

Until recently, the Asvas was referanced statistically to the extensive testing of adult malas that took place during World War II. In 1979, howaver, a decision was made to renorm the exam by testing the vocational aptitudes of a representative sample of American youth. Thus, with the assistance from the Natiofid Opinion Rasearch Cantar, the ASVAB was administered to some 12,000 young people already under study in the National Longitudinal Study of Youth Labor force Behavior (see below). The results of this testing, together with historical data on the test scores of military "accessions," have been made available recentiy (Secretary of Defenge, 1982).

The quality of the Asvas as a device to measure vocational aptitudes of a national sample of young people was axamined in 1981 by R.D. Bock of the University of Chicago, under contract with the Department of Dafense. Bock concluded that the data from student responses were "Eree from major defects" and provided a "sound basis for the eatimation of population
attributes." Bock concluded, also, that the quality of the ASVAB equals or surpasses that of commercial aptitude and achievement tests available elsewhere (Secretary of Defense, 1982). Results of the ASVAB administrations in California (both for enlistees and for the broader high school senior population taking the exam) are available from the Department of Defense. Both aggregate and specific skill scores are included. For this report, California and national scores have been compared.
5. National Longitudinal Study of Youth Labor Force Behavior (NLS-Labor)

The ims-Labor monitors the employment experiences of American young people. In the 1966 study, some 5,000 civilians were included in the sample. In 1979, the sample was expanded to incorporate some 13,000 young people, including members of the armed services. National and state data are available, but the state sample is not designed to be representative, so results are of only limited use.
6. Vocational Education Data System (VEDS)

Section $112(6)(1)(B)$ of the Vocational Education Amendments (VEA) of 1976 requires that states evaluate all programs within their territorial limits that. "purport to impart entry-level fob skills." These programs are to be evaluated based on the extent to which their "completers and leavers" obtain employment in fields related to their training and are considered by their employers to be well-trained and prepared for employment. Data
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collected for this evaluation are to be reported to VEDS, using guidelines on sampling, data collection, and reporting set forth $\$$
by VEDS (California Stata Department of Education, 1981).
The state counterpart to VEDS is the Follow-up of students and Employars (FUSE). FUSE collects follownp data on students Who igraduate Erom or otherwise leave secondary or postsecondary level vocational aducation programs. These data are collected in compliance with the Vocational Edueation Amendmonts of 1976 and are submitted as the state report to VEDS.

Data for California include gross employment and unemployment statistics for program completers during 1971-72 through 1978-79. These are arrayed by the level of the education program (secondary or pogtséecondary) and by the general field in which the training occurred. These data were supplemented in 1979-30 with similar information for program "lasvers" in 1979-80; salay information was also added. In 1980-B1, FUSE added the employer Follownp component; thus, information is available on how employers evaluate the trainees in Eive areas.

Unfortunately, the quality of these data is very poor, and therefore these were not used in this report. Fewer than one-quarter of the students participating in VEA-funded programs participate in the followup studies. Responses from the employer sample (approximately 700 in 1980-81) are interesting, but their representativeness mast be questioned.

## 7. Carnegie Data: Giving Youth a Better Chance

In 1979, the Camnegie Council on Policy studies in Higher Education released. a report on problems with youth employment. Although tho Council did not produce new data for this raport, it did array exiating data (primarily from the Burean of the Census, U.S. Department of Labor, and U.S. Department of Education) in useful waype The council's emphasis on the education-work innkage is important.
8. Monitoring the Future

Each year since 1975, a large national sample of high school seniors has completed an extensive questionnaire developed by the Survey Research Center at the University of Michigan. Although this survey focuses to a great extent on dag and alcohol use and other aspects of student lifestyles, it contains some information related to work.

Included in some of the annual surveys are questions about pre-graduation work experience, student perceptions of the worla of work, and studenit coments on their perceptions of work preparedness.
D. OTHER INTELLECTUAL AND LIFE SKILLS

1. International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA)

The IBA testing during the early geventies included a citizenship component. Available data contrast American students with students in other countries on such matters as acceptance of democratic ideals, nationalism, and civic participation.
2. National Assensment of Educational Prögress (NASP)

Onlike most othor teating organizations, NAEPP has not limited ather itw assessmants or its objectives to basic academic or vocational skill areas. In recent years, NASP has conducted assessmants in citizenship, social gtudies, art, musile, knowledge of energy, health awaronass, and consumer skills and knowledge. These are available for the metion as a whole and for four regions.

## APPENDIX 3

## A COMPARISON OR CALIFORNIA AND NATIONAL SCHOLASTIC APTITUDE TEST DATA

## APPRNDIX 8 <br> A COMPARISON OF CACIEORNLA AND NATIONAL SCHOLASTIC APTITUDE TEST DATA

To understand sore report information from The College Board (or any other testing organization) it is important to know something about the test taking population, including information about changes in the number or camposition of test takers over time. Major differences betwesn state and national test populations asn also assist intexpretations of united States-Californda comparisons.

## A Description of National Resules and Test reiking Trends

The SAT
Over the past decade, approxe stely one million high school seniors have taken the SAT each year. The test takers represent roughiy one-third of the bigh school graduating class and approximately one-half of the college-bound graduates. In guneral, students who take the gat are more academically able than ail high school sentors (The College Board, 1981).

Mean score information for Dnited states high school seniors bstween 1967 and 1981 is shown in Table $8-1$. As is evident from these data, verbal scores decinned steadily between 1968 and 1980 , for a total decilne of some 42 points. Mathematics scores decined a total of 27 points, from a high of 493 in 1969 to 466 in 1980.

During the early seventies, the test-taking population decreased somewhat--both in gheer numbers and as a Eraction of the high school graduating class. As is clear in Table $8-2$, the $1,022,820$ teet takers in
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SAT SOORE AVERAGES EOR COHLEGE-BOUND SENIORS
Mational, 1967-19821

| YEAR | VEREAL |  |  | MATEEMATICS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Framale | Total | Male | Pemale | Total |
| 1967 | 463 | 468 | 466 | 514 | 467 | 492 |
| 2968 | 464 | 466 | 466 | 512 | 470 | 492 |
| 1969 | 459 | 466 | 463 | 513 | 470 | 493 |
| 1970 | 459 | 461 | 460 | 509 | 465 | 488 |
| 1971 | 454 | 457 | 455 | 507 | 466 | 488 |
| 1972 | -454 | 452 | 453 | 505 | 461 | 484 |
| 1973 | 446 | 443 | 445 | 502 | 460 | 481 |
| 1974 | 447 | 442 | 444 | 501 | 459 | 480 |
| 1975 | 437 | 431 | 434 | 495 | 449 | 472 |
| 1976 | 433 | 430 | 431 | 497 | 446 | 472 |
| 1977 | '431 | 427 | 429 | 497 | 445 | 470 |
| 1978 | 433 | 425 | 429 | 494 | 444 | 468 |
| 1979. | 431 | 423 | 427 | 493 | 443 | 467 |
| 1980 | 428 | 420 | 424 | 491 | 443 | 466 |
| 1981 | 430 | 418 | 424 | 492 | 443 | 466 |
| 1982 | N/A | N/A | 426 | N/A | N/A | 467 |

IThe averages for 1967 through 1971 are estimates of the averages that would have been reported for college-bound seniors of those years if such reports had been produced.
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## TABEE B-2

SAT TARERS AS A PERGENTAGE OF RIGE ECHOOL GRADUATES
National. 1972-1980

|  | NUMEER OF <br> TEST RAKERS | PEREENT OF <br> GRADUATING <br> CLASS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | $1,022,820$ | 34.0 |
| 1973 | $1,014,862$ | - |
| 1974 | 985,247 | 32.0 |
| 1975 | 996,452 | - |
| 1976 | 999,829 | 31.7 |
| 1977 | 979,467 | - |
| 1978 | 989,307 | 31.6 |
| 1979 | 991,765 | - |
| 1980 | 991,514 | - |
| 1981 | 994,333 |  |

1972 represented 34 percent of that year's graduating class. Setween 1974 and 1980, however, the test taking population stabilized at 31.5-32.0 percent of each year's graduates.

The ratio of male to femals test takers has changed marixediy over the past decade. The number of men taking the SAT has declined Erom 523,758 in 1972 to 478,625 in 1981. At the same time, the number of wowen taking the test climbed from 492,598 in 1973-74 to 515,708 in 1981. In 1972 , woman comprised 48.8 percent of the SAT takerg; by 1981 , they represented 51.9 percent of the test tairers. Table E-3 provides male/famala breakdowns of SAT takers.

Throughout the seventies men scored higher than women on both the verbal and quantitative sections of the SAT. In 1972 , women averaged 452 on the verbal examination and 461 on the quantitative examination. By comparison, men averaged 2 points bigher (454) on the verbal and 44 points higher (505) on the quantitative. In 1981, the gap was lengthened to 12 points on the verbal test and 49 points on the quantitative test. Table B-4 provides relevant data.

The number of minority test takers has also increased during the seventies. In 1975 , some 14 percent of the test takers identified themselves as o'dher than white. By 1981 that percentage had increased to 18.2 percent. Table $8-5$ provides racial and ethnic information on SAT takers from 1975 to 1981.

The College Board has recently released SAT score data for students of different ethnic groups. As is clear in Table B-6, non-Asian minority students scored well below the avarage mark of white students.

TAECE B-3

SAT TAKERS, BY SEX

National

| YEAR | MALE TEST TALCERS | FEMALE TEST TAARERS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERCENST } \\ & \text { FEMALE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 523.758 | 499,062 | 48.8 |
| 1973 | 515,932 | 498,930 | 49.2 |
| 1974 | 492,649 | 492,598 | 50.0 |
| 1975 | 496,876 | 499.576 | 50.1 |
| 1976 | 494,638 | 505,191 | 50.5 |
| 1977 | 479.116 | 500,351 | 51.1 |
| 1978 | 478,856 | 510,451 | 51.6 |
| 1979 | 479,319 | 512,446 | 51.7 |
| 1980 | 478,443 | 513,071 | 51.7 |
| 1981 | 478,625 | 515,708 | 51.8 |

sat means, by sex

Mat Ional, 1972-1981

| YEAR | MLES |  |  |  |  | F5MLES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VEREAL |  | M4near | MTH |  | VEREAL |  | NLMBER | MATH |  |
|  | Mpan | Sta.Dev. |  | Maan | Std.Dov. | Moan | Std.Dev. |  | Mpan | Std.Dev. |
| 1971-72 | 454 | 112 | 523,758 | 505 | 118 | 452 | 110 | 499,062 | 461 | 108 |
| 1972-73 | 446 | 112 | 515,927 | 502 | 117 | 443 | 107 | 498,926 | 460 | 106 |
| 1973-74 | 447 | 111 | 492,598 | 501 | 119 | 442 | 108 | 492,591 | 459 | 108 |
| 1974-75 | 437 | 111 | 496,860 | 495 | 119 | 431 | 108 | 499,568 | 449 | 107 |
| 1975-76 | 433 | 111 | 494,626 | 497 | 123. | 430 | 110 | 505,183 | 446 | 111 |
| 1976-77 | 431 | 110 | 479,070 | 497 | 121 | 427 | 110 | 500,526 | 445 | 110 |
| 1977-78 | 433 | 110 | 478,791 | 494 | 121 | 425 | 110 | 510,394 | 444 | 110 |
| 1978-79 | 431 | 111 | 479,224 | 493 | 121 | 423 | 110 | 512,393 | 443 | 109 |
| 1979-80 | 428 | 110 | 478,284 | 491 | 120 | 420 | 110 | 512,961. | 443 | 109 |
| 1980-8\| | 430 | 110 | 478,301 | 492 | 119 | 418 | 110 | 515,598 | 443 | 109 |

IThis column presedts the number of students taking the SAT-Verbol; the number of students takling the 新-Math was approximately the same.
thabe b-5
sAt takers, by racs
Nationa1, 1975-1981

| gEAR | EuACKS |  | NEAXICAN-ANERICAN |  | ORIENTAL |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { NON-MAITSE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Parcent |  |
| 1975 | 64,938 | 7.9 | 11,407 | 1.4 | 16,535 | 2.0 | 14.0 |
| 1976 | 64,755 | 8.2 | 12,221 | 1.5 | 17,274 | 2.2 | 15.0 |
| 1977 | 71,721 | 8.8 | 13,618 | 2.7 | 19,475 | 2.4 | 26.1 |
| 1978 | 80,054 | 9.0 | 15,426 | 1.7 | 23,152 | 2.6 | 17.0 |
| 1979 | 81,566 | 8.9 | 14,796 | 1.6 | 25,158 | 2.8 | 17.1 |
| 1980 | 83,321 | 9.1 | 15,488 | 1.7 | 28,889 | 3.2 | 17.9 |
| 1981 | 82,162 | 9.0 | 15,765 | 1.7 | 31,329 | 3.4 | 18.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Inciudes, Puerto Ricans, American Indians and "Other."
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## TABLE B-6 <br> SAT SCORES, BY RACE

National, 1982

| RACE | VERBAL | GNANTTMATVE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amexiean Indians | 391 | 425 |
| Asian Ameriçans | 397 | 513 |
| Mainland Puexto Ricans | 361 | 396 |
| Mexitan Americars | 373 | 415 |
| Blacks | 332 | 362 |
| Whites | 442 | 483 |
| National Average | 424 | 466 |

## The Achievement Tests

Although scores on the two College Board achiavament tegts taken by the greatest numer of students-English Composition and Mathematics Level I-have fluctuated over the past decade, average scores have remained essentially level. Unlike the broader SAT tests, there is no clear downard trend except in the number of test takers, which have dropped dranatically. In 1972, more than 313,000 students took the Inglish Composition test; by 1981, the number had fallen to $182,939$. Sindiarly, there were 240,089 Math $I$ test takers in 1972 , but only 245,851 in 1981. The score data reported in Tables B-7 and B-8 should, therefore, be interpreted in light of the declining test population-a trend which normally has had a clear upward effect on mean test scores.

## A Description of California Results and Test Taking Trends

Like national test scores, the mean SAT sco:es of California students deciined significantly during the seventies. Scores on the examination of verbal skills declined from 464 in 1971-72 to a low of 424 in 1979-80, representing a arop of 40 points. Scores on the examination of quantitative skills decilned from 493 in 1971-72 to a low of 472 in 1979-80, representing a drop of 21 points. Mean score information for each of the past 10 years is provided in Table $8-9$.

During the seventies, there were fluctuations in the number of California students taking the SAT. Between 1972 and 1978 the trend was generally upward, but the test-taking population dropped off subsequently. In general, the test populations represented a steadily

## TABGE B-7

ginglish cquposition test data
National, 1975-1981

| YEAR | MEAN SCORE | SID. | NOMBER OF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEV. | 108 | 313,158 |  |
| 1972 | 516 | 107 | 275,196 |
| 1973 | 517 | 107 | 228,300 |
| 1974 | 517 | 107 | 211,852 |
| 1975 | 515 | 111 | 212,796 |
| 1976 | 532 | 107 | 200,539 |
| 1977 | 516 | 105 | 195,173 |
| 1978 | 512 | 106 | 187,266 |
| 1979 | 514 | 106 | 184,714 |
| 1980 | 518 | 104 | 182,939 |
| 1981 | 512 |  |  |

## Thaces b-8

## MATH I test data

National, 2975-1981

| YEAR | MEAN SCORE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STD. } \\ & \text { DEV. } \end{aligned}$ | NLABER OF TEST TAKERS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 541 | 102 | 240,089 |
| 1973 | 537 | 101 | 210,734 |
| 1974 | 545 | 101 | 172,032 |
| 1975 | 545 | 102 | 1-8,061 |
| 1976 | 546 | 101 | 158,327 |
| 1977 | 547 | 100 | 149,918 |
| 1978 | 541 | 99 | 146,426 |
| 1979 | 537 | 97 | 14,5,572 |
| 1980 | 536 | 94 | 146,172 |
| 1981 | 539 | 96 | 145,851 |

Californda, 1972-1981

| YRAR | VERPML |  | NHEBER OFTESN TNOSRS | QOANTMTATIVE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maan | Std.Dev. |  | Msan | 3Std.Dev. |
| 1971-72 | 464 | 112 | 91,619 | 493 | 117 |
| 1972-73 | 452 | 111 | 95,200 | 485 | 115 |
| 1973-74 | 450 | 110 | 98,140 | 484 | 116 |
| 1974-75 | 435 | 110 | 106,782 | 473 | 117 |
| 1975-76 | 430 | 112 | 108,644 | 470 | 119 |
| 1976-77 | 427 | 112 | 107,580 | 470 | 119 |
| 1977-78 | 427 | 112 | 111,514 | 466 | 120 |
| 1978-79 | 428 | 112 | 102.587 | 473 | 218 |
| 1979-80 | 424 | 111 | 102.717 | 472 | 117 |
| 1980-81 | 426 | 111 | 100,121 | 475 | 115 |
| 1981-82 | 425 | N/A | 102,261 | 474 | N/A |

increasing fraction of the high school graduate population through 1978, but dropped off somowhat the following year. Table B-10 provides information on the number and proportion of California students taking the sat.

As was true nationally, the ratio of male to female test takers in California changed during the sevanties. In $1972,49.8$ parcent of the test takers were female. Female participation subsequently increased to a Migh of 53 percent in 1978 and 1979, then decreased slightly the Eollowing years. See rable B-11 for male/female counts for 1972-1981. Throughout the seventles, female test takers from Califormia compiled Lower scores on both the verbal and quantitative tests than their male counterparts. In 1972, female students averaged 462 on the verbal test, some 4 points lower than the male test takersy by 1981, the average verbal score for a woman had dropped to 419 , some 15 points lower than the average male score. over the 10 year period, the average verbal score for women dropped by 43 points, while the men's mean declined by 32 points. On the quantitative test, women averaged 467 points in 1972 , same 51 points lower than men; by 1981, the mean female score had declined to 449 , some 54 points lower than men. The total decline over the decade in quantitative skills among women was 21 points, while the men's quantitative mean declined by 18 pointis. Table B-12 provides relevant data.

The proportion of minority SAT takers in California increased substantially during the ssventies. In 1975 , some 24.8 percent of the test takers identified themselves as non-white. This figure rose each year, with the 1980 non-white proportion standing at 30.8 peicent of all test takers. It is important to note, however, that the bulk of the

TABLE B-10

## CALIFORNEA SAT TARBRS

2972-1981

| YEAR | NLEABER OF SrUDEINTS |  | PRRCENT OR GRADUATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 91,619 |  | - |
| 1973 | 95,200 |  | - |
| 1974 | 98,100 |  | 33.9 |
| 1975 | 106,786 |  | 36.3 |
| 1976 | 108,652 |  | , 37.5 |
| 1977 | 107,586 |  | 37.7 |
| 2978 | 111,524 |  | 39.3, |
| 1979 | 202,595 |  | 36.8 |
| 1980 | 102,723 | * | 37.9 |
| 1981 | 100,131 |  | - |

## TARE B-11

CALIPORNIA SAT TAKERS, BY SEX
1972-1981

| YEAR | Number MALE | NUMEER -TMALE | PRECENT FEMALE: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 45,965 | 45,654 | 49.8 |
| 1973 | 47.129 | 48,076 | 50.5 |
| 1974 | 47,225 | 50,915 | 51.9 |
| 1975 | 51,514 | 55,272 | 51.8 |
| 1976 | 52,248 | 56,404 | 51.9 |
| 1977 | 51,294 | 56,292 | 52.3 |
| 1978 | 52,361 | 59.163 | 53.0 |
| 1979 | 48,266 | 54,329 | 53.0 |
| 1980 | 48,505 | 54,218 | 52.8 |
| 1981 | 47,393 | 52,738 | 52.7 |

TABLE B-12
SAT MEANS, BY SEX
Callfornlo, 1972-1981

| YEAR | MLLES |  |  |  |  | FEMLES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VERSAL |  | NUMBER | MTH |  | VEREAL |  | NUHBER | MTH |  |
|  | Moan | Std.Dev. |  | Moan | Std.Dev. | Moan | Sta.Dev. |  | Moan | Std.Dov. |
| 1971-72 | 466 | 112 | 45,965 | 518 | 119 | 462 | 111 | 45,654 | 467 | 109 |
| 1972-73 | 456 | 112 | 47,129 | 511 | 118 | 448 | 109 | 48,076 | 460 | 106 |
| 1973-74 | 454 | 111 | - 225 | 509 | 119 | 446 | 109 | 50,915 | 460 | 108 |
| 1974-75 | 440 | 111 | 51,511 | 501 | 120 | 431 | 109 | 55,271 | 446 | 107 |
| 1975-76 | 434 | 113 | 52,244 | 500 | 123 | 426 | 111 | -56,400 | 443 | 110 |
| 1976-77 | 431 | 111 | 51,289 | 500 | 122 | 424 | 112 | 56,291 | 443 | 110 |
| 1977-78 | 432 | 112 | 52,355 | 498 | 123 | 423 | 112 | 59,159 | 440 | 110 |
| 1978-79 | 432 | 113 | 48,261 | 502 | 121 | 424 | 111 | 54,326 | 447 | 109 |
| 1979-80 | 429 | 110 | 48,502 | 500 | 119 | 420 | 112 | 54,215 | 446 | 108 |
| 1980-81 | 434 | 111 | 47,387 | 503 | 117 | 419 | 110 | 52,734 | 449 | 108 |

increase occurred in the "Orientaj" category. The number of blacks taking the SAT in 1981 was almost the same as in 1975. Although the number of Chtcano test takers increased by over 1,000 since 1975, the number desreased substantially from the high of 8,307 radched in 1.978 . Table $\mathrm{B}-13$ provides information on the number of minority students taking the SAT each year since 1975; statempecific information on average scores by race has not been published.

## The Achievement Tests

There is a clear downward trend in the scores of California students on both the English Composition test and the Mathematics Level I test. On the English Composition tast, California students scorad an average of 521 in 1976, but deciined to 495 by 1981. On the Math I test, the California average declined trom 543 in 1976 to 519 in 1981. The number of students taking the English Composition test remained stable over this time period; the number taking the Math I test increased by 25 percent (probably at least in part because the University of Caldfornia began requiring all applicants to subait Math I scores in 1979). Tables B-14 and B-15 provide California data on the English Composition and Math I achievement tests between 1976 and 1981.

A Comparative Analysis of California and National Scores Test-Taking Trends

In general, Caiffornia seores on the 5 have followed the same pattern of deciine as have national SAT scores. In 1972, the first year for which state data are available, California students scored considerably higher on both the verbai and mathematics tests than did all

## TAEME B-13

SAT TARERS, BY RACE
California, 1975-1981

| YEAR | BLACKS |  | MDXICAN-AMERICAN |  | ORIENTRAL |  | TOTAL NON-WAITE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | 8 | Numbar | * | Number | 8 | Number | 8 |
| 1975 | 6,347 | 7.0 | 6,007 | 6.6 | 6,509 | 7.1 | 22,621 | 24.8 |
| 1976 | 6,360 | 7.2 | 6,291 | 7.2 | 6,825 | 7.7 | 23,780 | 26.9 |
| 1977 | 6,946 | 7.4 | 7,167 | 7.7 | 7,882 | 8.4 | 26,628 | 28.5 |
| 1978 | 8,230 | 7.9 | 8,307 | 7.9 | 8,931 | 8.5 | 31,116 | 29.7 |
| 1979 | 7,304 | 7.5 | 7.287 | 7.5 | 9,382 | 9.7 | 29,144 | 30.0 |
| 1980 | 7,166 | 7.4 | 7,262 | 7.5 | 10,369 | 10.7 | 29,615 | 30.5 |
| 1981 | 6,458 | 6.8 | 7,075 | 7.5 | 10,886 | 11.5 | 29,066 | 30.8 |

THE COLLEGE BORD ENCLISH CONPOSITION TEST SCORES
Callfornia, 1976-1981

| SOPRE | 1976 |  | 1977 |  | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | 8 | No. | 8 | No. | 8 | No. | 8 | No. | 8 | No. | 8 |
| 750-800 | 557 | (2) | 210 | (1). | 153 | (1) | 203 | (1) | 277 | (1) | 179 | (1) |
| 700-749 | 1256 | (4) | 801 | (3) | 683 | (2) | 728 | (3) | 893 | (3) | 744 | (2) |
| 650-699 | 2424 | (8) | 2014 | (7) | 1933 | (6) | 1891 | (7) | 1889 | (6) | 1710 | (6) |
| 600-649 | 3811 | (13) | 3168 | (11) | 3029 | (10) | 2865 | (10) | 3241 | (11) | 3002 | (10) |
| 550-599 | 4458 | (15) | 4449 | (15) | 4385 | (13) | 4214 | (15) | 4122 | (14) | 3931 | (13) |
| 500-549 | 5009 | (17) | 4929 | (17) | 4884 | (16) | 4957 | (17) | 5165 | (17) | 3323 | (18) |
| 450-499 | 4814 | (16) | 4813 | (17) | 5152 | (17) | 4926 | (17) | 4886 | (16) | 4948 | (16) |
| 400-449 | 3873 | (13) | 4136 | (14) | 4633 | (15) | 4225 | (15) | 4186 | (14) | 4857 | (16) |
| 350-399 | 2276 | (8) | 2558 | (9) | 2897 | (10) | 2576 | (9) | 2839 | (10) | 3212 | (11) |
| 300-349 | 1142 | (4) | 1432 | (5) | 1588 | (5) | 1468 | (5) | 1459 | (5) | 1656 | (5) |
| 250-299 | 430 | (1) | 530 | (2) | 515 | (2) | 556 | (2) | 614 | (2) | 551 | (2) |
| 200-249 | 60 | (0) | 95 | (0) | 96 | (0) | 104 | (0) | 85 | (0) | 92 | (0) |


| NIMBER | 30,110 | 29,135 | 29,948 | 28,713 | 29,656 | 30,205 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEAN | 521 | 505 | 498 | 501 | 503 | 495 |
| STD. DEY. | 110 | 107 | 105 | 106 | 108 | 105 |

SOURCES:
The College Board. Admissions Tosting Program Roports. Tie College Board. Admissions Tosting Program Raports. The College Board. Admissions Testing Program Reports. The Colloge Board. Admissions Tosting Program Raports. The Cullege Board. Admissions Testing Program Roperts. The College Board. Admissions Tosting Program Reports.

[^7]table e-15

THE COLLEGE BOARD MTHEMTICS LEVEL | TEST SCORES

Callfornla, 1976-1981

| SCOPE | 1976 |  | 1977 |  | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | 8 | No. | 8 | No. | 8 | No. | $\delta$ | No. | 5 | No. | 5 |
| 750-800 | 405 | (2) | 352 | (2) | 364 | (2) | 225 | (1) | 141 | (1) | 214 | (1) |
| 700-749 | 952 | (5) | 900 | (5) | 705 | (3) | 667 | (3) | 643 | (3) | 660 | (3) |
| 650-699 | 1947 | (10) | 1692 | (9) | 1665 | (8) | 1619 | (7) | 1473 | (6) | 1508 | (6) |
| 600-649 | 2991 | (15) | 2869 | (15) | 2963 | (15) | 2758 | (12) | 3148 | (13) | 3084 | (12) |
| 550-599 | 3317 | (17) | 3808 | (20) | 3892 | (19) | 4279 | (18) | 4305 | (17) | 4346 | (17) |
| 500-549 | 3899 | (19) | 3468 | (18) | 3971 | (19) | 4691 | (20) | 5004 | (20) | 4843 | (19) |
| 450-499 | 3096 | (15) | 3053 | (16) | 3159 | (15) | 3911 | (17) | 4752 | (19) | 5024 | (20) |
| 400-449 | 2031 | (10) | 1831 | (9) | 2036 | (10) | 3153 | (13) | 3147 | (13) | 3215 | (13) |
| 350-399 | 1055 | (5) | 1007 | (5) | 1184 | (6) | 1629 | (7) | 1517 | (6) | 1627 | (6) |
| 300-349 | 329 | (2) | 319 | (2) | 432 | (2) | 565 | (2) | 522 | (2) | 611 | (2) |
| 250-299 | 26 | (0) | 62 | (0) | 44 | (0) | 105 | (0) | 57 | (0) | 67 | (0) |
| 200-249 | 2 | (0) | 2 | (0) | 0 | (0) | 2 | (0) | 4 | (0) | 3 | (0) |


| NIMBER | 20,050 | 19,423 | 20,415 | 23,605 | 24,713 | 25,202 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEAN | 543 | 541 | 536 | 521 | 520 | 519 |
| STD. DEV. | 100 | 99 | 98 | 96 | 91 | 94 |


| SOURCES: | The College Board. | Adnissions Testing | gran Reports. | Now York: | 1975; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The College sosrd. | Admissions Tosting | Program Reports. | Now York: | 1977; |
|  | The College Board. | Admissions Tosting | Progren Reports. | Now York: | 1978; |
|  | The College soard. | Adinissions Tosting | Progran Roports. | Now York: | 1979; |
|  | The Colluge Board. | Adimissions Tosting | Program Roports. | Now York: | 1980; |
|  | The College Board. | Admissions Tosting | Progran Reports. | Now York: | 1981. |

students nationally. Then, during the following years, California scores dropped further than did all scores nationally, so that the California average was below the nacionsl figure between 1976 and 1978. Beginning In 1979, California scores began their recovery, especially in mathematics, while the national áverage continued to decline. Figure $\mathrm{B}-1$ shows score trends Eor the two populations.

## College Preparation Ovar Time: SAT Rasults

The data discussed eaxlier show that while there has been some recovery Erom the sharp decline duxing the early seventies, the performance of the average high school senior from California and from the nation as a whole is lower now than it was ten or more years ago.

National. since 1974, the number of SAT takers as a proportion of the high school graduating class hes remained essentially the same.

There have, howaver, been some changes in the internal composition of the tegt taking population ouring the seventies, principally an incraase in the number of women and minorities taking the exam (see Tables s-3 and B-5). Both of these changes would nomaliy have the effect of depressing mean scores for the total test taking population, as students in those categories generaliy score lower than other students. The compositional changes are not, however, of a magnitude to explain all of the decline (see Wirtz, 1977). ${ }^{1}$

Ione study completed fox the Wiftz Comalssion suggestad an upward trend in the scaling of SAT scores (see Wirtz, 1977). If this is true, it would more than compensate for the compositional effects outilned here.

FIGURE B-1

## SAT MEAN SCORES

California and National

source: California Assessment Program. Student Achievement in

California Schools: Annual Report, 1981 Sacramento: State

California. During the past several years, there have been several changes in institutional policy related to use of the SAT in California. Two of these changes went into effect with the freshman class of 1979; the thira began the Eollowing year. The Eirst two changes affected atudents applying Eor Einancial assistance Erom the state Student Ald Commission and those applying to the University of California. The freshman class of 1979 were no longer required to submit SAT scores with their applications and the Ireshman class of 1980 were allowed to choose Either the SAT or the American College Testing Program (ACT) E Efective the following year, applicants to the California state University system with cumulative grade point averages of 3.2 or better were no longer required to suboit SAT or ACF scores (they had praviously been so required since 1965, even though they were automaticaliy admitted on the basis of grade point average irrespective of their test scores).

As a result of these changes and other unknown factors, there have been fluctuntions in the number of California test population (see Figure $\mathrm{B}-2$ ). The drop in participation rates between 1978 and 1979 (from 39.3 percent to 36.8 percent of the graduating class) may explain some portion of the score increase between these two yers. Similarly, the big increase in participation rates between 1974 and $1975-\mathrm{a} 2,000$ student increase in the test-taking population-may explain the score drop there. ${ }^{1}$

Lordinarily, increases in the number of students taking the SAT are associated with decreasing mean scores. This relationship is belfeved to be due to increased numbers of marginal students taking the test (see Wirtz, 1977). Although the poilcy changes in California might have been expected to alter the nature of the association between changes in the test-taking population and mean scores, this is not the case. The self-reported grade point average data muggeste a negative correlation between the two in California as well; the test results tend to substantiate this relationship.

FIGURE B-2
participants in sat as a percentage of high school graduates California and National, 1972-1981


The impact of coupositional changes on Califormia test scores is open to some question. As was noted in Tables $B-11$ and $B-13$, both women and minorities are represented at higher rates in the current test-taking population than they were in the aariy seventies. The increase of women would have the effect of depressing test scores, particularly in mathematios, but would by no means explain the full decline (nor, of course, why men's scores slipped too). The effects of the increasing minority population rate are not so clear cut. There were, to be sure, nearly 1,179 more California blacks and Hispanics taking the SAT in 1981 than in 1975, and these students generally average lower than other students. Howevar, there was a far larger increase over this period in the number of students of Asian extraction taking the exam (from 6,509 to $10,886)$.

In sum, changes in the number and composition of test takers might explain a significant portion of changes in Califarnia test scores over the past eight to ten years.

## California/National Performance Levels: The SAT

As Table $B-16$ shows, a substantially larger fraction of Caiffornia's high school graduates take the SAr than is true nationally. Since an increase in the number of test takers generally means an expansion of tive pool to include more lower achieving students (see Wirtz, 1977), this difference would have the effect of deflating Calffornia scores in relation to the national average. As was noted earlier, a larger proportion of the California test-taking population is comprised of women than is the case nationally; this would also have the effect of

TABLE B-16
MEAN SAT SCORES, BY STATE
1982


[^8]depressing California scores in relation to the national norm. Pinally, the proportion of non-white test takers in Califcrnia is significantly higher than the national average. However, the effect of this difference 15 unclear.

In sum, these data support the conclusion that California's four-year college-bound population $1 s$ scmewhat better prepared for college than college-bound students nationally, and suggests that the skili differences between the two groups are understated by a strict comparison of msan scures. However, in many states, not only does a larger fraction of the high schooi graduating class take the SAT as compared with California, but the students score higher as well. As is evident in Table B-16, New York and Massechusetts figures are particularly noteworthy.

Preparation In Complex Skills: Achievement Test Results

The College Board's Achlevament Tests are generally taken by relatively more able students than those taking only the sat. Generally gpeaking, the tests described here (English and Mathematics) are considered to test more advanced skilis than is the case in the sit. Results from these tests, then, should be viewed as commentary on the extent to which our most able college-bound students have mastared the relatively complex skills necessary to successfilly pursue academtc work In a four-year college or university.

The average American student taking the English Composition test and/or the Kathematics Level I test in 1981 scored at approximately the same level tis did his or hor connterpart in previous years. Ho. 日ver, far fewer students opted to take such tests in 1981 than was the case in 1972 (both male and Eemale test populations decreased by approximately 40 percent). This decrease in the nkmber of test takers would noxmally have increased the mean scores. Since the mean score did not incresge, it, seems ilkely that complex skills have fallen off among college-bound students nitionally.

## California

Mean scores fir California students have declined since 1976 on both the English Composition and Math I achievement tesis. On the English Composjtion tast, the mean score feil from 521 to 495; in Math I, the mean aropped from 543 to 519. The number of students taking the English Composition test remained stable over this period, but those taking the Math I test increased by 25 pexcent-suggesting that tise magnitude of the math decline was probably inflated by the increased test-taking population.

It would appear that the dealine in advanced skills has been most pronounced among the most able college-bound students. As is evident in Pigure B-3; for example, the number of California students scoring above 700 on the English Composition test declined Irom 1,813 in 1976 tn 923 in 1981--even though the number of test takers remained the mams. Similarly, tise number of scores between 600 and 700 declined from 6,235 In 1976 to 4,712 in 1981. In Mathematics Level $I$ the number of 700 plus
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FIGURE B-3
THE COLLEGE BOARD ENGLISH COMPOSITION TEST SCORES

California Students, 1976 and 1981


Number of Test Takers:
Mean Score:

## $\frac{\square}{1981}$

30,110
30,205
495
scores declined from 1,357 in 1976 to 874 in 1981 --even though the number of test takers increassa by 25 percent. These comparisons suggest a decrease in high level skills among the most able students.

Achievement Test Results: California/National Comparisons

A comparison of mean score data from the English Composition and Mathematics Level I axaminations suggests that able college-bound students in :alifornia are less well-prepared in advanced writing and mathematics skills than their countexparts nationally. However, a much larger fraction of the graduating class in California takes these examinations than is the case nationally. Each year, for example, over 10 percent of California high school graduates take the English Composition test; nationally, only approximately 6 percent do so. In Mathematics Level $I$, the current figure for California is approximately 9.3 percent; nationaliy, only 4.6 percent of high school graduates take this test. These differences in test taking populations reduce the comparability of state and national score data, suggesting that-at the very least--the difference between the average "able" college-bound student in California and his or her counterpart nationally is less than that indicated in simple comparisons of mean scores.
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    * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
    * from the original document. *
    

[^1]:    $1_{\text {The }}$ College Board dita sumaries also provide self-reports or the type of courses taken, and these suggest that California students take fewer academic courses than their peers nationally.

[^2]:    $1_{\text {students }}$ enroiled in vocational education programs across the United States are surveyed by state agencies after they leave the program. Their employers are also surveyed about worker quality. Because of poor response rates, however, the information from this source is subject to serious question and is not used here.

[^3]:    1The nine percent figure is used in this and other IEA score reports in order to compare similar student populations. Nine percent was' the percentage of the age 'group enrolled in the final year of secondary education in Gemany, the IEA participant with the lowest enroliment statistic.

[^4]:    $1_{\text {The ITED was administered to all California seniors until 1973-74; }}$ in 1974-75, the performance of California students was estimated by administering the exam to students in a representative group of high schools. The results in all three skill areas for 1974-75 appear to be discrepant with the results in 1973-74 and 1975-76.

[^5]:    IDuring the early seventies, the Iowa Test of Educational Development was used to measure mathematics achievement among twelf th grade students. Between 1969-70 and 1973-74, when all Californis seniors were tested, the average score for California seniors deciined from 13.2 to 12.6 , but the state's rank on the publisher's norms remained constant at the 48th percentile (California Assessment Program, 2975, p. 66). In 1974-75, when only a sample was tested, the average score dropped slightly to 12.4 (publishers ranking: $41 s t$ percentile).

[^6]:    IThe results of cognitive tests administered in conjunction with the NCES longitudinal studies of the high school graduating classes of 1972 and 1980 also suggest a slight reduction over time in the difference between white and black performance levels (Fetters, 1982).

[^7]:    Now York: 1976;
    Now York: 1977;
    Now York: 1978;
    Now York: 1979;
    Now York: 1980;
    Now York: 1981.

[^8]:    source: Gokland Tribune. "State!s kids Fall Back in SAT-Tests." Cokland, CA: September 22, 1982.

